

## Hydrophilic actinide complexation studied by solvent extraction radiotracer technique

Jan Rydberg

Department of Nuclear Chemistry, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden and Radiochemistry Consultant Group AB, V. Frölunda, Sweden

October 1996

## SVENSK KÄRNBRÄNSLEHANTERING AB

SWEDISH NUCLEAR FUEL AND WASTE MANAGEMENT CO P.O.BOX 5864 S-102 40 STOCKHOLM SWEDEN PHONE +46 8 665 28 00 FAX +46 8 661 57 19

## HYDROPHILIC ACTINIDE COMPLEXATION STUDIED BY SOLVENT EXTRACTION RADIOTRACER TECHNIQUE

#### Jan Rydberg

Department of Nuclear Chemistry, Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden and Radiochemistry Consultant Group AB, V. Frölunda, Sweden

October 1996

This report concerns a study which was conducted for SKB. The conclusions and viewpoints presented in the report are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily coincide with those of the client.

Information on SKB technical reports from1977-1978 (TR 121), 1979 (TR 79-28), 1980 (TR 80-26), 1981 (TR 81-17), 1982 (TR 82-28), 1983 (TR 83-77), 1984 (TR 85-01), 1985 (TR 85-20), 1986 (TR 86-31), 1987 (TR 87-33), 1988 (TR 88-32), 1989 (TR 89-40), 1990 (TR 90-46), 1991 (TR 91-64), 1992 (TR 92-46), 1993 (TR 93-34), 1994 (TR 94-33) and 1995 (TR 95-37) is available through SKB.

## HYDROPHILIC ACTINIDE COMPLEXATION STUDIED BY SOLVENT EXTRACTION RADIOTRACER TECHNIQUE

Jan Rydberg

Department of Nuclear Chemistry Chalmers University of Technology, S-412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden,

and

Radiochemistry Consultant Group AB Tigerstigen 11 S-42672 V. Frölunda, Sweden

October 1996

#### ABSTRACT

Actinide migration in the ground is enhanced by the formation of water soluble complexes between the actinide ions (*central atoms*) and inorganic and/or organic complex formers (*ligands*) dissolved in the ground water. It is essential to the risk analysis of a wet repository to know the concentration of the central atoms and the ligands in the ground water, and the stability of the complexes formed between them (the *complex formation constant*); from such data the concentration of all dissolved actinide species can be calculated, and -- using additional data on sorption, diffusion, and ground water flow rate-- the migration rate of the actinides in the ground can be predicted.

The important actinides in nuclear waste are U, Np, Pu and Am, all radioactive and with halflives long enough to allow the determination of their macroscopic properties, usually at  $>10^{-4}$  M. However, when dissolved in nature, their concentrations are hardly macroscopic; typical concentration values are  $<10^{-7}$  M (*trace concentrations*). Because the chemical behavior at trace concentrations often differ from that at macro concentrations (for example polynuclear complex formation), it is important to know the chemical behavior of actinides at trace concentrations in the ground water. One method commonly used for such investigations is the *solvent extraction radiotracer (SXRT)* technique, by which the radioactive properties of the actinides are used for their detection.

This report describes the SXRT technique in some detail. A particular reason for this analysis is the claim that complex formation constants obtained by the SXRT technique differs even at same actinide concentration, or are less reliable than results obtained by alternative techniques. It is true that several difficulties are encountered in the application of the SXRT technique to actinide solutions, as for example redox instability, hydrophilic complexation by side reactions and sorption, but it is also shown that a careful application of the SXRT technique yields results as reliable as by any other technique.

The report contains a literature survey on solvent extraction studies of actinide complexes formed in aqueous solutions, particularly by using the organic reagent thenoyltrifluoroacetone (TTA) dissolved in benzene or chloroform (Table 1). Hydrolysis constants obtained by solvent extraction are listed in Table 9. The last two tables contain all actinide complexes studied by SX with inorganic ligands (Table 11) and with organic ligands (Table 12).

Part of this work was done under the auspices of the OECD/NEA Nuclear Data Group through the support of the Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co (SKB).

#### SAMMANFATTNING

Aktinidernas rörlighet i grundvatten ökas om vattenlösliga komplex bildas mellan aktinidjonerna (*centralatomer*) och oorganiska eller organiska komplexbildare (*ligander*) i grundvattnet. Vid riskanalys av ett avfallslager beläget i våt berggrund är det viktigt att veta såväl halter av aktinider och ligander i vattnet som jämviktskonstanter för bildning av vattenlösliga aktinidkomplex; från sådana data-- tillsammans med data om sorption, diffusion och grundvattnets rörelser -- kan aktinidernas halt och vandringshastighet i marken förutsägas.

De viktigaste aktiniderna i avfallet är U, Np, Pu och Am, vilka alla är radioaktiva men med så långa halveringstider att deras egenskaper kan mätas med makroskopiska metoder, dvs i halter  $>10^{-4}$  M; sådana metoder har därför också i stor utsträckning använts för studier av bildning av aktinidkomplex. I grundvatten från ett läckande avfallslager kommer emellertid aktinidernas halter bli mycket lägre, ofta  $<10^{-7}$  M (*spårhalter*). Då aktinidjoner många gånger uppför sig olika vid makromängder och i spårmängder (t.ex. polymerbildning vid hydrolys), är det för säkerhetsanalysen nödvändigt att också ta hänsyn till egenskaperna i spårmängder. Ett sätt att studera detta är medelst vätskeextraktion med radiometrisk detektering (*solvent extraction radiotracer, SXRT*, teknik).

Denna rapport beskriver relativt ingående SXRT tekniken och dess felkällor, bl.a. enär det hävdats att denna teknik även vid samma aktinidhalter ger jämvikstkonstanter som systematiskt avviker från vad som erhålles med alternativa tekniker. SXRT tekniken tillämpad på aktiniderna kräver speciell uppmärksamhet i avseende på ett flertal faktorer (t.ex. redoxjämvikter, sorption och hydrofil komplexbildning), men det kan visas att vid noggran tillämpning av denna teknik erhålles jämviktskonstanter i överensstämmelse med vad som fås med annan teknik (vilken också förutsättes tillämpas med stor omsorg).

Rapporten innehåller en litteraturöversikt över hydrofil komplexbildning av aktinider studerad med SXRT teknik, särskilt med användning av thenoyltrifluoroaceton (TTA) löst i benzene eller kloroform (Tabell 1). Med SXRT bestämda aktinidhydrolyskonstanter har samlats i Tabell 9, komplex med andra oorganiska ligander i Tabell 11, och med organiska ligander i Tabell 12.

Detta arbete har utförts i samråd med OECD/NEA Nuclear Data Group och med stöd av Svensk kärnbränslehantering (SKB) AB.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page
1. Actinide wastes	1
2. Actinide complexation	1
3. The solvent extraction radiotracer technique (SXRT)	2
3.1 Solvent extraction	2
3.2 Radiometric methods	3
3.3 The SXRT technique	3
4. Extraction equilibria	4
4.1 The chemical system	4
4.2 The extraction constant	5
4.3 Aqueous complexation	7
4.3.1 Hydrolysis	7
4.3.2 Other hydrophilic complexants (than OH)	9
4.3.3 Hydrophilic complexes of the extractant; determination of [A <sup>-</sup> ]	9
4.3.3 Several hydrophilic M-complexes	12
4.4 Adduct formation	13
4.5 Complexes between the metal and the ionic medium	14
4.6 Other considerations	14
4.6.1 Activity factors	14
4.6.2 Kinetics	15
5. Experimental aspects on the SXRT technique	15
5.1 The distribution ratio	15
5.2 Radiometric technique	16
6. Actinide redox equilibria	17
6.1 Effect of impure valency state	17
6.2 The air-water system	18
6.3 Presence of strong reductant	19
6.4 Effect of hydrolysis of An(III) and An(IV)	19
6.5 Effect of other hydrophilic complexes	20
7. Actinide hydrolysis	21
7.1 Hexavalent state	21
7.2 Pentavelent state	21
7.3 Tetravalent state	23
7.4 Trivalent state	23
8. Formation of other hydrophilic complexes	24
8.1 Inorganic ligands	24
8.2 Organic ligands	24
9. Comparison between the SXRT and other techniques	24
10. Conclusions	29
11. References	30

This survey covers the aqueous complexation of actinides as investigated by *solvent extraction* using *radioactive tracers* for the actinide ions. The review presents the equilibrium equations and points out the difficulties (and advantages) appearing in the technique. The review is comprehensive with respect to actinide hydrolysis studies, but more less so in respect to other ligands.

Recognition is given to the OECD Nuclear Energy Agency Data Bank for permission to use part of the material gathered for their publications (97NEA-TDB) and to the Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co for financial support.

#### **1. ACTINIDE WASTES**

In 1995 nuclear energy contributed 17% to the world's electricity production. Most of this energy came from fissioning of uranium (about 62%) and of plutonium (about 38%) isotopes, the latter having been formed through capture of neutrons in uranium isotopes followed by radioactive decay. In the n-capture/decay process also neptunium and heavier actinides (Am, Cm, Bk, Cf) are formed. The annual amounts of actinides left in spent uranium fuel from the global nuclear energy program of  $\approx$ 340 GW<sub>el</sub> (1995) are listed in the Table below (spent fuel age: 10 years after removal from the reactors):

U	Np	Pu	Am	Cm
9500 tons	4.4 tons	≈90 tons	2.8 tons	170 kg

The amount of Cf is about 1 mg and of Bk about 1  $\mu$ g. As it is likely that the contribution of nuclear energy will continue to rise, so will also the global amounts of transuranium actinides. The small amount of the nuclear energy produced from thorium fueled reactors will also produce an actinide waste (mainly Th+Pa+U). Of course, in addition to actinides, the waste will contain large amounts of fission products.

As the actinides are biologically harmful when taken into the body (e.g. the annual limit of intake of  $^{239}$ Pu is 17 µg), they must be stored away from biological life. Many options are available and have to some extent also been explored, but the deposition in geologic repositories seem to be the present choice among both the nuclear energy producers and the regulatory authorities. There seem also to be a consensus on the belief that in the long time scale, deposited nuclear waste may ultimately be released into water streams. Thus it is considered necessary to know how the actinides in the waste behave when exposed to groundwater, so that the risk to man can be properly evaluated. The actinides dissolve very slowely -- and slightly -- in groundwater, primarily through complexation by groundwater anions; this increases the mobility of the actinides in the biosphere.

It should be noted that in the nuclear fuel cycle which comprises reprocessing and recycling of the transuranics, the nuclear wastes contain insignificant amounts of actinide elements.

#### 2. ACTINIDE COMPLEXATION

Considerable knowledge has been acquired on the aqueous complexation of the actinides, particularly through work on uranium using electrochemical and spectrometric techniques. Quite a number of ionic actinide species are produced in water. As groundwater usually contains a large number of anions (carbonate, chloride, fluoride, etc)

they complex the actinide ions to different degrees depending on the actinide valency state (+3, +4, +5 or +6), pH, etc. For actinides other than uranium, and particularly those which are not available in substantial amounts, solvent extraction has been a favored technique, using trace concentrations (usually  $<10^{-6}$  M) of the radioactive metals; we refer to this as the Solvent Extraction RadioTracer (SXRT) technique. When comparing equilibrium constants determined by this technique with other "more established" ones, it has often been observed that the solvent extraction radiotracer technique yields larger formation constants. This is demonstrated in Figure 1 for the hydrolysis of tetravalent actinides. Because the SXRT technique also is commonly applied to non-actinide metal systems, the discrepancy becomes a fundamental chemical problem: One may ask if there is an inherent "fault" in the SXRT technique, or, perhaps that all the other techniques have (other) inherent faults (cf. 83CAC/CHO.2)?



Figure 1. Variation in the first hydrolysis constant  $*K_1$  with atomic number Z for tetravalent actinides; upper line refers to SX-tracer experiments, lower line to experiments on macroscopic concentrations (77DUP/GUI).

Occasionally, any method for determination of physical constants must be questioned with respect to reliability of experimental technique, mistakes in theory and computational errors. This paper therefore begins with a critical review of the SXRT technique, *per se*. When the SX experiments are controlled, the theory is simple to develop and use to derive equilibrium constants by graphical or other computational techniques. In the second part, the complexation studies are presented. The results are compared with other techniques at the end of this paper.

#### 3. THE SOLVENT EXTRACTION RADIOTRACER TECHNIQUE, SXRT

#### 3.1 Solvent extraction

Solvent extraction (or, liquid-liquid distribution) has been shown to be an efficient large-scale chemical separation technique (92RYD/MUS/CHO, 96SHA/PAI/PRV). Less known is its application to studies of complex formation. The basic principle was laid down almost exactly 100 years ago by Nernst and co-workers: The distribution of a species of given chemical composition between two immiscible solvents is constant and independent of the concentration and of other species (the *Nernst distribution law*). We abbreviate the *distribution constant*  $K_{\rm D}$ :

 $\mathbf{K}_{D} = \{\text{Species I}\}_{\text{organic phase}} / \{\text{Species I}\}_{\text{aqueous phase}} = \gamma_{\text{org}} [\text{Spec. I}]_{\text{org}} / \gamma_{\text{aq}} [\text{Spec. I}]_{\text{aq}} (\text{Eq. 1})$ 

Here {} refer to chemical activities,  $\gamma$  to activity factors, [] to concentrations, and index aq and org to the two liquid phases; fat italics is used for thermodynamic constants. Expression (1) defines the terminology used here.

Already in 1902 Morse (02MOR) applied this principle to the study of mercury complexation by halides in water, measuring the distribution of mercury between toluene and water, using the observation that the uncharged mercury halide complex HgX<sub>2</sub> was soluble in the organic phase, but no other mercury species. In 1938 Grahame and Seaborg (38GRA/SEA) demonstrated the validity of the Nernst distribution law at radioactive trace concentrations.

Inorganic metal complexes are usually not soluble in organic solvents, and therefore solvent extraction would not appear to be an applicable technique for studying aqueous metal complexation. However, in 1941 Kolthoff and Sandell (41KOL/SAN) showed that many metals could easily be complexed with organic chelate formers (below referred to as *extractants*), yielding neutral metal-organic complexes, which are soluble in organic solvents; they showed that—for analytical purposes—metals could easily be separated from each other by solvent extraction at different pH's.

With the synthesis of a number of fluoride substituted beta-diketones, among them *thenoyltrifluoroacetone*, commonly named TTA, Reid and Calvin (50REI/CAL) made a new group of powerful extractants available. Using TTA dissolved in benzene, Connick and McVey (49CON/MCV) in the late 1940:ies demonstrated that solvent extraction could be an efficient tool for studying complexation in aqueous solutions, in this case hydroxide, sulfate and fluoride complexes of zirconium in aqueous NaClO<sub>4</sub>, using the radioactive tracer <sup>95</sup>Zr. From then on a number of important pioneering papers appeared (49IRV/WIL, 50RYD, 52MAR/CAL, etc), establishing solvent extraction as a convenient method for studying aqueous complexation of metals at tracer concentration (usually  $\leq 10^{-6}$  M). In the following we shorten the name for this technique to SXRT for Solvent Extraction RadioTracer; it may be noted that solvent extraction can also be used for complex formation studies with sensitive non-radioactive detection methods.

#### **3.2.** Radiotracer technique

Although thorium and uranium are rather common elements in nature (about as common as lead), all other actinides are rare, and the transuranium elements can only be obtained through nuclear reactions. The elements are unstable, each consisting of only radioactive isotopes. Consequently, except for Th and U, all actinides have been studied initially by radioactive methods on trace amounts of the isotopes. Although radioactive tracer methods were developed in the time period 1896-1939, it may be fair to say that it was in the Manhattan Project during World War II that this method became refined into a research routine, amply described in numerous modern textbooks (e.g. 93ADL/GUI, 95CHO/LIL/RYD). The application of this technique to solvent extraction studies of the actinides requires, however, some special considerations, as discussed in Sect. 5.

#### **3.3 The SXRT technique**

The SXRT technique requires (a) a solvent extraction system, i.e. a two-phase liquid-liquid system (usually water and an organic solvent like benzene) containing a metal ion  $M^{z+}$  and an extractant (e.g. the acid HA) forming an uncharged complex (e.g.  $MA_z$ ), which is soluble in the organic phase, and (b) a radioactive isotope of the metal in high

specific radioactivity (>  $10^{10}$  bequerel/mole), which isotope must be randomly distributed between all present valency states and complexes of the metal.

The main parameter measured is the "radioactivity distribution", i.e. the ratio  $D_{\rm I}$  of the radioactivity concentration (in bequerel/liter, Bq/l)<sup>1</sup> of the organic phase,  $I_{\rm org}$ , to that in the aqueous phase,  $I_{\rm aq}$ , i.e.

$$D_{\rm I} = I_{\rm org} / I_{\rm aq} \tag{Eq. 2}$$

If  $\phi$  is the radiometric detection efficiency (which may have different values for the two different phases, see Sect. 5), then

$$[\mathbf{M}_{tot}]_{org} = \phi_{org} I_{org}$$
 and  $[\mathbf{M}_{tot}]_{aq} = \phi_{aq} I_{aq}$  (Eq. 3)

where  $[M_{tot}]$  signifies the total metal concentration (sum of all species) in the indicated phase. Thus, the ratio of the total metal concentrations of the two phases is given by

$$D_{\rm M} = [\mathbf{M}_{\rm tot}]_{\rm org} / [\mathbf{M}_{\rm tot}]_{\rm aq} = \phi_{\rm org} I_{\rm org} / \phi_{\rm aq} I_{\rm aq} = \text{const.} D_{\rm I}$$
(Eq. 4)

 $D_{\rm M}$ , often simply referred to as <u>the</u> distribution ratio, is measured as a function of important variables as described below. From the analysis of such data, hydrolysis and complex formation constants can be derived. Note that eq. 1 and eq. 4 are not mutually exchangeable: while  $K_{\rm D}$  is a thermodynamic constant (in bold italics),  $D_{\rm M}$  is a measured quantity (only italics).

The metal distribution ratio between the organic and aqueous phases is our dependent quantity which is measured as a function of changes in the composition of the chemical system.

#### **4. EXTRACTION EQUILIBRIA**

#### 4.1 The chemical system

The knowledge on actinide hydrolysis is good for some actinides in some valency states, for others it is poor or contradictory. Below we list the different valency states investigated in aqueous solutions. In boldface we indicate for which states the SXRT technique has been used.

hexavalent:	U, Np, Pu, Am
pentavalent:	Pa, U, Np, Pu
tetravalent:	Th, Pa, U, Np, Pu, Am
trivalent:	Ac, Pa, U, Np, Pu, Am, Cm, Bk, Cf, Es, Fm

The most widely used extractant in these studies is thenoyltrifluoroacetone, more commonly known as TTA. It is a  $\beta$ -diketone with weak acidic properties; the reagent is therefore sometimes abbreviated HTTA. Many other  $\beta$ -diketones have been used: acetylacetone, benzoylacetone, etc. They can all be treated as a monobasic weak acid HA. Another equally popular extractant with similar properties is di-2-ethylhexyl phosphoric acid (D2EHP, or HDEHP), and dinonylnaphtalene sulphonic acid (DNNS). Also amines (TOA) and adduct formers like tributylphosphate (TBP) and trioctylphosphineoxide (TOPO), have been used; as they require another hydrophilic complex former (than ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>),

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Specific radioactivity is defined as radioactivity in becquerel per unit mass or volume, i.e. Bq/mole, Bq/l, etc. Radioactivity concentration is an alternative expression. (95CHO/LIL/RYD).

we shall not complicate this review by discussion also them (see e.g. 77SEK/HAS). We limit this review to the HA-type extractant, particularly TTA.

- We here consider three types of ligands for actinide ions:
- (i) the extractant thenoyltrifluoroacetone (or similar extractant), abbreviated HA,
- which in water partly dissociates into  $H^+$  and  $A^-$ ;
- (ii) OH-ions which cause actinide hydrolysis:
- (iii) an additional hydrophilic ligand in the aqueous phase, denoted X<sup>-</sup>.

We consider these ligands one at a time. In practice, they may all be present at the same time, as we discuss later. Although the actinide ions can appear in different oxidation states (sometimes simultaneously), we, for the moment, represent all of them with a single species  $M^{z+}$  (valency state +z).

#### 4.2 The extraction constant

In the aqueous phase the extractant HA dissociates partly into  $H^+$  and  $A^-$ . The extractant anion  $A^-$  reacts with the metal ion  $M^{z^+}$  to form the extractable uncharged complex  $MA_z$ . The basic a priori principle is that the only species of M in the aqueous phase is  $M^{z^+}$  and in the organic phase  $MA_z$ ; thus

$$[M_{tot}]_{aq} = [M^{2^+}]_{aq}$$
 (Eq. 5.a)

$$[\mathbf{M}_{tot}]_{org} = [\mathbf{M}\mathbf{A}_z]_{org}$$
(Eq. 5.b)

and

$$D_I = D_M = [\mathbf{M}\mathbf{A}_z]_{\text{org}} / [\mathbf{M}^{z^+}]_{\text{aq}}$$
(Eq. 5.c)

The simple extraction reaction can be described by

$$M^{z+}(aq) + z HA(org) \leftrightarrow MA_z(org) + zH^+(aq)$$
 (Eq. 6.a)

and the extraction constant  $K_{ex}$  as

$$K_{\rm ex} = [MA_z]_{\rm org} [H^+]_{\rm aq}^{z} / [M^{z^+}]_{\rm aq} [HA]_{\rm org}^{z}$$
(Eq. 6.b)

Then

$$D_l = D_M = K_{\text{ex}} [\text{HA}]_{\text{org}}^{\ \ z} [\text{H}^+]_{\text{aq}}^{\ \ -z}$$
 (Eq. 6.c)

Omitting the index "aq" for aqueous concentrations, and + and - for ionic charges, when this cannot be misunderstood, and representing chemical activities by  $\{ \}$ , eqn. 6.b and 6.c are replaced by

$$K_{ex} = \{MA_z\}_{org} \{H\}^z / \{M\} \{HA\}_{org}^z$$
 (Eq. 6.d)

and

$$D_I = D_M = \mathbf{K}_{\text{ex}} \{\text{HA}\}_{\text{org}}^{z} \{\text{H}\}^{-z} (\gamma_M / \gamma_{\text{MAZ,org}})$$
(Eq. 6.e)

where  $\gamma_{\rm M}$  is the chemical activity coefficient for  ${\rm M}^{z^+}$  in the aqueous phase, and  $\gamma_{\rm MAZ,org}$  for  ${\rm MA}_z$  in the organic phase. Here [M] is the concentration of *free*  ${\rm M}^{z^+}$  in the aqueous phase, and [HA]<sub>org</sub> is the concentration of *free* HA in the organic phase. When the chemical activity in the solution is kept constant, a plot of log  $D_{\rm M}$  versus log [HA]<sub>org</sub> (or {HA}<sub>org</sub>) at constant {H<sup>+</sup>} yields a straight line of slope z, from which the  $K_{\rm ex}$  value may be determined. The validity of Eq. 6 was first demonstrated by 49CON/MCV. If instead

## Table 1. Extraction constants, $K_{ex}$ , for actinide complexes MA<sub>z</sub> in aqueous ClO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> at 25<sup>o</sup>C. Metal ion, Log $K_{ex}$ , Ionic strength, Salt medium, $[H^+]_{aq}$ , $[HTTA]_{org}$ , Reference:

Metal ion	$\log K_{ex}$	μ.Μ	[H] M	[HA] <sub>org</sub> M	Reference							
Be(II) <sup>#</sup>	-1 7*	1.0 Na, H	<u>≤ 1.0</u>	0.1	68RUD/SEV/LAN							
Y(III) <sup>#</sup>	-8.55	0.1		0.1	68SCH							
Am(III)	-9.20	0.1		0.1	68SCH							
Am(III)	-9.13	0.1	?	0.5	69KEL/SCH							
Cm(III)	-9.15	0.1	?	0.5	69KEL/SCH							
	-7.30	0.1	?	0.5	" (15)**							
Cf(III)	-8.31	0.1	?	0.5	69KEL/SCH							
. ,	-7.48	0.1	?	0.5	" (15)*, **							
Th(IV)	0.0	1.0		0.01-0.1	67SCH							
Metal ion		<b>M</b>		THAL M	Reference							
Wittin#	10g A <sub>ex</sub>											
Y(III)	-0.8	2.0		0.3	0351U 70V A S/VED							
La(III)	-9.79	2.0		NU.5	/2NAS/NER							
HO(III)	-7.25	0.1		0.5								
U(III)	-8.2	0.2413	>10-6	>10-4.5 m a mm	9/NEA-IDB							
Pu(III)	-2.5 (	0.2 H,LI	210	210 norm								
Pu(III)	-8.0	0.5		normalizad	9/NEA-IDB							
Am(III)	-7.97	0.5	рн 5.0	normanzeu								
Am(III)	-0	0.1		0.5	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$							
Cm(III)	~1.92 .7.94	0.1	nU 5 6	0.5	/ TERIVI/ VOR/ZAI							
Cm(III)	7.04	0.5	$>10^{-5}$	0.004 norm	CODES/LILIS							
	-7.60	0.1 <b>II</b> , <b>LI</b>	210	0.004 попп.								
Cf(III)	-7.00	0.5	nU 56	normalized	67EDM/STA							
Cf(III)	-7.11	0.5	p11 5.0	normanzeu								
Em(III)	-6.80	0.5	ph 5.6	normalized	67ERM/STA							
7r(1)	-0.00 ca 0	2.0	20	0.1 M								
Zr(IV)	8 0	2.0	2.0	<01	53LAR/TER							
Hf(IV)	6.6	2.0	2.0	<01	53LAR/TER							
Th(IV)	0.8	3.0	0.12	0.086	51ZEB/ALT/HEU							
Th(IV)	0.9	30LiH	0.22	0.000	51ZEB/ALT/HEU 52WAG/STO							
U(IV)	5.5	1.0	1.0	0.05	55DAY/WIL/HAM							
	5.6	1.0	2.00		50BET/LEI							
Np(IV)	5.6	2.0			54SUL/HIN 61POS/FOR							
Np(IV)	5.2	1.0 Li.H	≥10 <sup>-3</sup>	0.2	77DUP/GUI							
Np(IV)	57	,			54SUL/HIN							
Pu(IV)	6.85	1.0 H			61POS/FOR							
Pu(IV)	7.33	1.0	≥10 <sup>-5</sup>	normalized	72MET/GUI							
U(VI)	-2.0	2.0		0.5	54DAY/POW. 64FOT/FRE							
U(VI)	-2.0	2.0	0.05	0.5	54DAY/POW							
- ( · -/												

Organic phase chloroform

\*) at 17-20<sup>o</sup>C \*\*) 56DYR, giving other references. Ref. (15) in 69KEL/SCH not given. #) Included for comparison

{HA} is kept constant while {H<sup>+</sup>} is varied, a plot of log  $D_M$  against log {H<sup>+</sup>} (i.e. -pH) yields a straight line of slope -z. This is a more common check of the validity of Eqn. 6. Table 1 contains  $K_{ex}$  and  $K_{ex}$  values reported for the actinide-TTA system. Note that each constant refers to a specific aqueous / organic solvent system. An extensive list of  $K_{ex}$  values (as well as  $K_a$ ,  $K_{DC}$ ,  $K_{DR}$  and  $K_{add}$  values) for extraction of actinides by beta-diketones is given in 78STA/FRE and 86KAT/SEA/MOR.

#### 4.3 Aqueous complexation

In this survey we only consider mononuclear complexes. This has several practical aspects: (i) The equations become much simpler to deal with, (ii) except in hydrolysis, mononuclear complexes are more common in nature, (iii) an important application of equilibrium constants is in the fields of nuclear waste and environmental systems, where in general quite dilute solutions are encountered and no polynuclear complexes are formed. Also, the SXRT technique seems only to have been applied to monomeric complexes. We avoid discussion of mixed complexes; however, these are probably more common than indicated in the past studies.

We do not describe how to use the measured values of the variables to calculate the equilibrium constants. There are quite a number of text-books describing both graphical and numerical solutions to this problem (e.g. 61ROS/ROS, 70BEC, 80HAR/BUR/ALC, 88MEL/HAV/HÖG).

#### 4.3.1 Hydrolysis

Actinide hydrolysis has been extensively reviewed in comprehensive monographs (e.g. 75AHR/LIL/RYD, 86AHR, 92GRE/FUG/KON, 95SIL/BID/RAN, 97NEA-TDB); we therefore only briefly summarize the conditions of importance for the SXRT technique.

In dilute solutions it is assumed that the actinide forms a number of successive hydrolysis products  $MOH_{2}^{z-1}$ ,  $M(OH)_{2}^{z-2}$ , ......  $M(OH)_{p}^{z-p}$ . In more concentrated solutions, and possibly also at high pH's in dilute solutions, polynuclear complexes  $M_m(OH)_p^{mz-p}$  are formed. An advantage of the SXRT method is that in general the metal concentration is so low (preferably <<10<sup>-6</sup> M) that polynuclear complexes can be ignored (see also Sect. 5). This assumption must of course be tested, and the simplest (and common) test is to vary the total actinide concentration; if the same distribution ratio is obtained at, say 10<sup>-5</sup> and 10<sup>-6</sup> M [M<sub>tot</sub>], polynuclear complexation can be neglected, as can be seen from the equilibrium equations (see Refs.). Thus, using concentration values,

$$[M_{tot}]_{aq} = M^{z+} + MOH^{z-1} + M(OH)_{2}^{z-2} + M(OH)_{3}^{z-3} + \dots = [M^{z+}] \Sigma \frac{\beta}{\beta_{p}} [OH]^{p}$$
(Eq. 7)

where p is taken over all species (for An(IV) it is claimed that p can reach 5, but for other actinide valency states it is less) and the hydrolysis product  $\beta_p$  is defined by:

$$\beta_{\rm p} = [M(OH)_{\rm p}^{2-{\rm p}}] [M^{2+1}]^{-1} [OH]^{-{\rm p}}$$
(Eq. 8.a)

From the hydrolysis product of water,

$$[\mathbf{H}^+] [\mathbf{O}\mathbf{H}^-] = K_w \tag{Eq. 9}$$

introduced in eq. 7, one finds

$$[M_{tot}]_{aq} = [M^{z^+}] \Sigma \beta_p K_w^{p} [H^+]^{-p}$$
(Eq. 10a)

Eq. (8.a) is commonly written in protonated form:

$${}^{*}\beta_{p} = [M(OH)_{p}{}^{2-p}] [M^{2+}]^{-1} [H^{+}]^{p}$$
(Eq. 8.b)

 ${}^*\beta_{\rm p}$  is referred to as the acid (or protonated) hydrolysis constant.<sup>2</sup>

Hydrolysis depends on the pH of the solution, of course, and the hydrolysis constants  $\beta_p$  can -- in principle -- be determined according to eq. (8.b) from measurements of [M<sup>+</sup>] and pH by conventional techniques, e.g., potentiometry. However, in the SXRT technique, we have to consider the extraction of the MA<sub>z</sub> complex. Thus, from

 $[M_{tot}]_{org} = [MA_z]_{org}$ (Eq. 11.a)

and

$$[\mathbf{M}_{tot}]_{aq} = [\mathbf{M}^{2^+}] \Sigma \beta_p [\mathbf{OH}^-]^p$$
(Eq. 11.b)

one obtains the distribution ratio

$$D_{\rm M} = [{\rm MA}_{\rm z}]_{\rm org} / [{\rm M}^{\rm z+}] \Sigma \beta_{\rm p} K_{\rm w}^{\rm p} [{\rm H}^{+}]^{-\rm p}$$
(Eq. 11.c)

Introducing the extraction constant (6.b)

$$D_{\rm M} = K_{\rm ex} \, [{\rm HA}]_{\rm org}^{\ z} \, [{\rm H}^+]^{-z} / \Sigma \, \beta_{\rm p} \, K_{\rm w}^{\ p} \, [{\rm H}^+]^{-p} \tag{Eq. 12}$$

In the absence of hydrolysis (i.e.  $\beta_0=1$  and all other  $\beta_p$ 's=0), eq. (12) becomes

$$D_{M}^{\circ} = K_{ex} [HA]_{org}^{z} [H^{+}]^{-z}$$
 (Eq. 13.a)

This relation is the *normalized distribution ratio* (absence of M-complexes in the aqueous phase, according to our basic *a priori* principle); we index it by an o-mark. The ratio between eqns. (12) and (13) is

$$D_{M}^{o} / D_{M} = \sum \beta_{p} K_{w}^{p} [H^{+}]^{p}$$
 (Eq. 14)

From measurements of  $D_M$  as a function of  $[H^+]$ , the hydrolysis constants  $\beta_p$  can be determined. This requires that  $D^{\circ}_M$  is constant; that is to say that eq. (13) must be constant, which it is as long as

(i) the sole complex formed between M and A is  $MA_z$ , and only in the organic phase, and that no  $MA_n$  (or other M-) complexes are formed in the aqueous phase,

(ii) z is constant (we shall loosely denote that z-value as the "ligand extraction number" N);

(iii)  $[HA]_{org}$  and  $[H^+]$  are known, noting that for each experiment carried out at different pH there is a normalized  $D^{\circ}_{M}$  for each pH and  $[HA]_{org}$  value. Eq. (14) shows that the extraction constant  $K_{ex}$  does not have to be known in order to determine the hydrolysis constants  $\beta_{p}$ .

For the extractant TTA, the ligand extraction numbers for the different actinide valency states are

N=3 for An(III) MA<sub>3</sub> (i.e. z=3); see also Sect. 4.4 N=4 for An(IV) MA<sub>4</sub> (z=4),

$$\{M_{tot}\}_{aq} = [M^{2^+}] \gamma_M \sum \beta_p K_w^p \{H^+\}^p$$
 (Eq. 10.b)

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  In accurate investigations, the chemical activity must be controlled, and using chemical activities eqn. 10.a takes the form

N=1 for An(V) -- which exists only as  $AnO_2^+$  -- as AnA (z=1), and N=2 for An(VI) -- which exists only as  $AnO_2^{2+}$  -- as  $AnA_2$  (z=2); see also Sect. 4.4. In measuring concentrations, as in the SXRT method, the N numbers are close to the figures given but usually differ slightly from the whole numbers, e.g. 2.9, 3.1, etc.

Eq. (13.a) could as well be written

$$D^{\circ}_{M} = K_{\text{ex}} \left[ \text{HA} \right]_{\text{org}}^{N} \left[ \text{H}^{+} \right]^{-N}$$
(Eq. 13.b)

These conditions have been widely used, first by (49CON/MCV), then by other researchers as is described in later sections on results of actinide hydrolysis measurements.

#### 4.3.2 Other hydrophilic complexants (than OH)

The SXRT technique has been used to study actinide complexation by halides, sulfate, nitrate, etc; the results are discussed in Sect. 8, below. We represent all these anions by X<sup>-</sup>. In the aqueous phase we have to consider the formation of a series of complexes  $MX^{z-1}$ ,  $MX_2^{z-2}$ ,  $MX_3^{z-3}$ , etc, all being hydrophilic; even the neutral complex  $MX_z$  is assumed not to be extracted.<sup>3</sup>

Analogous to eq. (8.a) we define a stability constant

$$\beta_{x} = [MX_{x}^{z-x}] [M^{z^{+}}]^{-1} [X^{-}]^{-x}$$
(Eq. 15)

Then

and

$$[M_{tot}]_{org} = [MA_z]_{org} = K_{ex} [HA]_{org}^{z} [H^+]^{-z}$$
 (Eq. 16.a)

$$[M_{tot}]_{aq} = [M^{z^+}] \Sigma \beta_x [X^-]^x$$
(Eq. 16.b)

and thus

$$D_{\rm M} = K_{\rm ex} \, [{\rm HA}]_{\rm org}^{\rm z} \, [{\rm H}^+]^{-z} / \Sigma \, \beta_{\rm x} \, [{\rm X}^-]^{\rm x}$$
(Eq. 17)

where the summation is taken up to maximum x-number. From this and eq. (13.a) one gets

$$D^{\circ}_{M} / D_{M} = \Sigma \beta_{x} [X^{-}]^{x}$$
(Eq. 18)

where  $D_{M}^{\circ}$  refers to the normalized extraction line. Thus, with eq. (18), measurement of the relative distribution ratio,  $D_{M}^{\circ}/D_{M}$ , as a function of the concentration of the free ligand X yields a curve from which  $\beta_{x}$  is easily calculated. This technique has been extensively used to obtain the data in Sect. 8. Such studies are usually carried out at a pH where hydrolysis does not compete.

#### 4.3.3 Hydrophilic complexes of the extractant; determination of [A]

#### (a) Formation of hydrophilic $MA_n^{2-n}$ complexes

Eqns. 6 and 14 are the fundamental relations used in the "TTA-method", but the straight line deduced from eq. (13) may in practice not be straight over the whole

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This is, of course, not always true and must therefore be contested in each particular case. For some SX systems, it is desirable that the neutral inorganic complex is extracted, e.g. in industrial actinide separations. For example uranium was/is purified by extraction as the  $UO_2(NO_3)_2(TBP)_2$  complex. However, for the sake of simple arguments we assume here that  $MX_z$  is not extracted into the organic solvent.

10

investigated range of  $[HA]_{org}$  and  $[H^+]$ , but curve (downward, in a plot of log *D* versus pH) at higher values of  $[HA]_{org}$  and pH. This indicates that hydrophilic aqueous complexes of  $M^{z+}$  may have been formed, either with OH<sup>-</sup> or with A<sup>-</sup>. Many studies have shown that the formation of the uncharged complex MA<sub>z</sub> occurs through a successive attachment of ligands to the central metal atom. This also seems probable for the actinide-TTA complexes. Consequently, such complexes cannot *a priori* be neglected.

Assuming that  $MA_z$  is formed in a stepwise manner (i.e.  $MA^{z-1}$ ,  $MA_2^{z-2}$ , ......  $MA_z(aq)$ ), the stability constants are defined by

$$\beta_{n} = [MA_{n}] / [M] [A]^{n} \qquad (Eq. 19)$$

$$[M_{tot}]_{aq} = [M] + [MA] + [MA_2] + .... = [M]_{aq} \Sigma \beta_n [A]^n$$
 (Eq. 20.a)

The distribution constant for the uncharged complex  $MA_z$  between the two phases is given by

$$K_{\rm DC} = [MA_2]_{\rm org} / [MA_2] \tag{Eq. 21}$$

(indexed DC for Distribution of Complex). Then

$$[MA_z]_{org} = K_{DC} \beta_z [M] [A]^z$$
(Eq. 20.b)

and

and

$$D_{\mathcal{M}} = K_{\rm DC} \beta_{\rm N} \left[ \mathbf{A} \right]^{\rm N} / \Sigma \beta_{n} \left[ \mathbf{A}^{\rm T} \right]^{\rm n}$$
(Eq. 20.c)

where the summation n is taken over all complexes up to the maximum number N of Agroups which can be bound to M; arbitrarily one may assume that N = z (this assumption usually holds at low concentrations of HA).

In the absence of hydrolysis or of significant concentrations of other hydrophilic complexes, the measurement of  $D_{\rm M}$  as a function of the "free ligand concentration" [A] should yield a straight line of slope z ( $\beta_0=1$ , all  $\beta_n$ 's =0), from which the product  $K_{\rm DC}$   $\beta_z$  is obtained. Alternatively, the extraction curve deviates from linearity (usually bending downwards) with increasing [A<sup>-</sup>]. Eq. 20.c is equivalent to Eq. 6.c. With these equations one finds that

$$K_{\rm ex} = K_{\rm DC} \ \beta_z \ K_a^{\ z} \ K_{\rm DR}^{\ -z}$$
 (Eq. 22)

This relation is useful in understanding extraction constants; see e.g. 92RYD/MUS /CHO.

Instead of the concentration constants used in this section, with their apparent limitations, thermodynamic relations may be preferred. However, this adds experimental labor. Tables 2 and 3 give literature values of  $K_n$  and  $K_{DC}$  for some metal TTA systems. As available data are few on actinides, as well as for TTA, some additional data are presented in Table 4, which may be used to estimate relevant actinide TTA constants.

#### (b) Determination of $[HA]_{org}$ and $[A^{-}]$

From the dissociation constant of HA given by

$$K_{a} = [H] [A] / [HA]$$
 (Eq. 23)

and the distribution constant defined by

$$K_{\rm DR} = [\rm HA]_{\rm org} / [\rm HA]$$
(Eq. 24)

Metal	Condition	$Log K_1$	Log K <sub>2</sub>	$Log K_3$	$Log K_4$	References
Lu(III)	0.1 Na,HClO <sub>4</sub>	6.0	6.0	5.6		68SCH/AND
Zr(ÌV)	1 M HClO₄	8.8				56ZIE/CON
Zr(IV)	1.0 Na,HClO <sub>4</sub>	11.0	10.9	10.4	10.0	67MEL/OZE/PES
Hf(IV)	1.0 Na,HClO <sub>4</sub>	10.6	10.8	10.0	10.0	62PES/AUG
U(IV)	2 M Na,HClO₄ (*	8.8 to 9.0				50BET/LEI
Np(IV)	0.2 M HClO <sub>4</sub>	9.14	9.05	8.92	8.73	Est. fr.54SUL/HIN
Np(V)	?	2.89	2.59			72GRO/KEL
U(VI)	75% dioxan corr. →0	8.7	7.9			65ROS/GOL

Table 2. Constants for formation of TTA metal ion complexes:  $\log K_n$  refers to the reaction  $MA_n^{2:n+1} + A^- \leftrightarrow MA_n^{2-n+1}$ 

\*) varying [H<sup>+</sup>], [HA]

Table 3. Distribution constants,  $K_{DC}$ , for some metal chelates (HA=TTA) at 25°C.

Metal complex	$\log K_{\rm DC}$	μM	[HA] <sub>org</sub>	Org. phase	References
BeA <sub>2</sub>	2.58	1.0 <sup>*</sup> (Na, H)ClO <sub>4</sub>	0.1	chloroform	68RUD/SEV/LAN
YA <sub>3</sub>	2.70	0.1(Na, H)ClO4	0.1	**	68SCH
AmA <sub>3</sub>	1.80	0.1(Na, H)ClO <sub>4</sub>	0.1	**	68SCH

\*) at 17-20°C

Table 4.	Com	parison	with	acety	lacetonate	comp	lexes
----------	-----	---------	------	-------	------------	------	-------

Metal	Condition	$Log K_1$	Log K <sub>2</sub>	Log K <sub>3</sub>	$Log K_4$	References
Th(IV)	0	8.3	7.7	6.2	5.1	Recalculated
Th(IV)	0.1 Na,HClO₄	7.7±0.2	7.2±0.15	5.9±0.1	4.95±0.05	53RYD
U(IV)	0.1 Na,HClO <sub>4</sub>	8.6±0.2	8.4±0.4	6.4±0.3	6.1±0.05	55RYD/RYD
Np(IV)	1.0 Na,HClO <sub>4</sub>	$8.58 \pm 0.07$	8.65±0.05	6.71±0.02	$6.28 \pm 0.02$	70LIL/STA
Pu(IV)	0.1 Na,HClO <sub>4</sub>	10.5±1.0	9.2±0.5	8.4±0.4	6.0±0.2	55RYD

(index DR for Distribution of Reagent), one calculates

$$[HA]_{org} = K_{DR} [HA_{tot}] / (1 + K_{DR} + K_a [H]^{-1})$$
(Eq. 25)

and the "free ligand concentration" of the extractant

$$[A^{-}] = K_{a} [H]^{-1} [HA_{tot}] / (1 + K_{DR} + K_{a} [H]^{-1})$$
(Eq. 26)

These equations are valid provided  $[HA_{tot}]$  (i.e. the total concentration of A added) >>  $[M_{tot}]$ , which is one of the principles of the SXRT technique, and at equal phase volumes (cf. 92RYD/MUS/CHO).

Condition	$Log K_1$	Reference
2 M Na.HClO₄	6.17	50REI/CAL
<0.1 Na <sup>+</sup>	6.38	52COO/TAF
1 M Na.HClO4	6.53	62PES/PEN
$\rightarrow 0$	$8.64 \pm 0.02$	65ROS/GOL
0.1 Na.HClO₄	6.2	68SCH/AND
1.0 Na.HClO₄	6.20	68RUD/SEV/LAN
0.1	6.23	69KEL/SCH
0.1 Na,HClO₄	6.46	77INO/TOC

Table 5. Log  $K_1$  for the TTA protonation reaction  $\mathbf{H}^+ + \mathbf{A}^- = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}$  at 25°C log  $K_1 \equiv \mathbf{p}K_a \equiv -\log K_a$ .

Some literature values of  $K_a$  and  $K_{DR}$  are given in Tables 5 and 6. Recommended values for TTA in the benzene / 1M Na,HClO<sub>4</sub> system at 25C° are  $pK_a = 6.20$  ( $K_a = 6.3$  10<sup>-7</sup>) and log  $K_{DR} = 1.54$  ( $K_{DR} = 35$ ). With the values for  $K_{DR}$  and  $K_a$  one finds for TTA that not until pH 6 does the dissociation of TTA in the aqueous phase affect its concentration in the organic phase (eq. 26); at pH 7 the correction is 15% and then increases rapidly with pH. Inserting the values recommended above for TTA,  $K_a K_{DR}^{-1} = 1.8 \ 10^{-8}$  (log value -7.75).

$\log K_{\rm DR}$	μM (Na, H)ClO <sub>4</sub>	[HA] <sub>org</sub>	Org. phase	References
1.69	0.6	0.5	benzene	51ZEB/ALT/HEU
1.76	0.6	1.1	**	"
1.88	0.6	2.1	**	**
1.62	0.1	< 0.05	**	64WAK/OKI/OMO
1.46	1.0	0.01	"	67HAL
1.54	1.0	0.1	"	**
1.70	1.0	1.0	**	**
1.54	2.0	0.01	**	70FOU
1.23	2.0 NaClO <sub>4</sub>	0.2		72KAS/KER
1.84	0.1	?	chloroform	64SEK/DYR
1.64	1-2 HClO <sub>4</sub>	?	**	67HAL
1.92	2.0	0.2	>>	72KAS/KER

Table 6. Distribution constants  $K_{DR}$  for TTA (=HA) at t 25°C.

#### 4.3.4 Several hydrophilic M-complexes

In the presence of both hydrolytic species and hydrophilic An-TTA complexes,

$$[M_{tot}]_{ac} = [M] + [MOH] + [M(OH)_2] + \dots [MA] + [MA_2] + \dots (Eq. 27.a)$$

or

$$[M_{tot}]_{aq} = [M]_{aq} (1 + \Sigma \beta_{p} [OH]^{p} + \Sigma \beta_{n} [A^{-}]^{n})$$
(Eq. 27.b)

where the summations for the hydroxide complexes are taken from p=1 to P, and of the Acomplexes over n=1 to N. The distribution ratio becomes

$$D_{\rm M} = K_{\rm DR} \,\beta_{\rm N} \, [{\rm A}]^{\rm N} \, (1 + \Sigma \beta_{\rm p} \, [{\rm OH}^{\rm -}]^{\rm p} + \Sigma \beta_{\rm n} \, [{\rm A}^{\rm -}]^{\rm n} \,)^{-1}$$
(Eq. 28)

[A] and [OH] both depends on pH (one could write eq. 28 in terms of [H] and [HA]<sub>org</sub>, if preferable), and in the same manner. Formation of hydrophilic OH- or A-complexes would decrease  $D_M$  from the straight normalizing line of eq. (13), and it would not be possible to distinguish by equilibrium methods what complexes are being formed. Therefore, other methods, kinetic or so-called fingerprinting ones (spectrophotometric in suitable wavelength region, NMR, etc) must be called upon for support. However, from experiments with varying ratios of [OH]/[A] (e.g., by varying pH at constant [A], which latter is kept constant through adjusting the [HA<sub>tot</sub>] value), one may find a region where the OH complexes dominate or can be neglected (cf. e.g. 56RYD).

Complexes of different strength are formed for the different actinide valency states: the strength of the complexes usually decrease in the order An(IV) >> An(VI) >> An(III) >An(V). Although, in principle, the A-complexes, which form chelates, should be stronger than the OH-complexes, it is also a question of free ligand concentration (of [A<sup>-</sup>] or [OH<sup>-</sup>]), which in turn depend of the  $K_w$  and  $K_a$  values.

From the data in Table 4 (and also, for comparison, using data for acetylacetone complexes in Table 6, which are rather similar to the TTA complexes) one can estimate the following log  $K_1$  values : for Th(IV) 7.2, U(IV) 8.1, Np(IV) 9.0, Pu(IV) 9.9, and for U(VI) 7.0; 1M Na,HClO<sub>4</sub>, at 25°C. We assume all the  $K_n$  values (n = 1 to 4) for an actinide to be about the same, and that the following constants are valid:  $\beta_1 = 10^9$ ,  $K_a = 6.3 \ 10^{-7}$ ,  $K_{DR} = 35$ ,  $K_{ex} = 10^6$ , and choose [HA]<sub>org</sub> = 0.1 (a common experimental condition). One then finds that at [H] = 1 M about 2% of [M<sub>tot</sub>]<sub>aq</sub> is complexed by TTA. However, the value actually decreases with pH, because the extraction parameter  $K_{ex}$  increases much faster than the complexation parameter  $\beta$ . At low values of [HA] org (say <0.1 M) the correction may be neglected.

To make these calculations complete, also the higher complexes of  $MA_n$  in the aqueous phases should be considered. From theoretical reason and experimental experience, it is likely that  $K_n > K_{n+1}$  but for simplicity we assume  $\beta_n = K_1^n$  to be valid. One then finds that 50% of the metal in the aqueous phase may be complexed with TTA. Consequently, this problem cannot be neglected, i.e. the experimental conditions of a straight line according to eqns. 13 and 14 must be very carefully controlled. It is a serious limitation to the TTA-method that in most studies the  $\beta_n$  values are unknown; cf. 54SUL/HIN.

Also, it is necessary to take into account some deviations of the distribution constant for TTA at higher [HA] concentrations. This can be done by the equation

 $[A] = K_a K_{DR}^{-1} [H]^{-1} [HA]_{org} (1 - 0.24 [HA]_{org}^{0.48})$ (Eq. 29)

where the last parenthesis is the activity correction factor for [HA]org (51KIN/REA). See also Sect. 4.6.1.

#### 4.4 Adduct formation

Actinides are "hard metals" and form strong complexes with "hard acids" (58AHR/CHA/DAV): i.e. the metal ions form ionic bonds with oxygen anions, and additional bonds with oxygen donor atoms, until the maximum coordination number N is reached. This number depends on the metal atomic charge and size, and available space around the metal complex. This means that the neutral complex may contain more A-molecules than z, i.e. N $\geq$ z. The general formula for an "adduct complex" may be written MA<sub>z</sub>B<sub>b</sub>, where B is any neutral "adduct" molecule with oxygen donor atoms, coordinating to the neutral metal complex; if B is the same as HA, the complex formed is a "self-adduct". The equilibrium constant for this addition, believed to occur in the organic phase, is abbreviated  $K_{add}$ . It is not easy to predict N, but rather easy to measure it. It was early found that actinide acetylacetonates form self-adducts of the type MA<sub>z</sub>(HA)<sub>b</sub> in the organic phase -- thus U(VI) forms the adducts UO<sub>2</sub>A<sub>2</sub>(HA)<sub>1-2</sub> (55RYD). Later it has been shown that all neutral actinide-TTA complexes form adducts with TBP (e.g. Am(TTA)<sub>3</sub>(TBP)<sub>1-2</sub> (67SEK/DYR)), dipyridyl and other strong donor molecules (77SEK/HAS, 78STA/FRE), and it is likely that TTA itself form self-adducts in a similar manner, though rather weak

complexes. It has been suggested that the self-adducts are no "adducts" but higher "normal" ionpair-complexes, e.g. not  $MA_z(HA)_b$  but  $H_bMA_{z+b}$ ; it is not possible to decide about this from equilibrium experiments alone.

No polymers (dimeres, etc) of TTA have been observed in the organic phase.

### 4.5 Complexes between the metal and the ionic medium

SXRT experiments are usually carried out at constant ionic strength to avoid activity factor corrections, the preferred medium being NaClO<sub>4</sub> (usually at 2M to 0.1M concentrations). For HA=TTA, both the formation of Na<sup>+</sup>-TTA complexes and M<sup>z+</sup>-ClO<sub>4</sub> complexes would add more terms to the equations above. Though such complexes have been much discussed, the support for their formation is meager and in actinide-TTA studies there existence is neglected; we therefore do not include them in our subsequent review.

#### 4.6. Other considerations

Chemical concentrations can be replaced by activities in the equations above (with a different  $\beta_n$  values, etc;  $\beta_n$  values are commonly tabulated at different ionic strength, as in 64SIL/MAR, 71SIL/MAR, 79PER, 82HÖG). Similarly,  $K_{DC}$  depends both on the aqueous ionic strength (usually increasing by increasing salt concentration, "salting out") and the solute concentrations in the organic phase.

#### 4.6.1 Activity factors

The measured distribution ratios,  $D_{\rm M}$ , are always concentration ratios. By using constant ionic strength in the experiments, concentrations can be used, assuming that all activity factors are constant, still yielding true (stoichiometric or mixed) equilibrium constants.

There is no easy way to control activity factors in the organic phase. It is commonly assumed that the activity factor for the extracted  $MA_z$  is the same as that for the extractant HA, which activity factor can be obtained from measurements of its distribution between the two phases,  $D_{HA}$ , at various [HA]<sub>org</sub> values:

$$K_{\rm DR} = \gamma_{\rm HAorg} \, [\rm HA]_{\rm org} \, / \, \gamma_{\rm HAaq} \, [\rm HA]_{\rm aq} = D_{\rm HA} \, \gamma_{\rm HAorg} \, / \, \gamma_{\rm HAaq} \tag{Eq. 30}$$

where  $K_{DR}$  refers to <u>D</u>istribution equilibrium constant of the <u>R</u>eagent (HA), and  $D_{HA}$  to the measured distribution of same reagent (HA).  $K_{DR}$  values are listed in 78STA/FRE.

For TTA in the benzene/0.1M HCl system, 51KIN/REA found  $D_{\text{HA}} = 40$  up to [HA]<sub>org</sub>>0.01M, after which it strongly increased, which was interpreted as the ratio  $\gamma_{\text{HAorg}}$ / $\gamma_{\text{HAaq}}$  strongly decreased. Assuming  $\gamma_{\text{HAaq}} = 1$ , they found  $\gamma_{\text{HAorg}} = 1-0.24$  [HA]<sub>org</sub><sup>0.48</sup>; in this system,  $D_{\text{HA}}$  reaches about 55 at [HA]<sub>org</sub> = 1M; see eq. (29).

Reas (acc. to. 49CON/MCV) found that  $\gamma_{org}$  for U(TTA)<sub>4</sub> was the same as  $\gamma_{org}$  for TTA at various concentrations of TTA in benzene. Hence, it is commonly assumed that  $\gamma_{MAz,org} = \gamma_{HAorg}$ . This assumption seems not to have been contested (or tested) by later investigators, although it is fundamental in most SX-TTA-studies; therefore, both ionic strength and [TTA<sub>tot</sub>] must be kept constant in careful experiments. Even if the assumption seems believable, the interaction between the solvent benzene (as used in most of these cases) and TTA, and between the "C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>-TTA" and the complex M(TTA)<sub>z</sub>, though not physically identical, may yield the same activity coefficient. However, a safer approach is

14

to choose a solvent (like CHCl<sub>3</sub>), which interacts less with HTTA in the concentration range studied; some investigators have preferred this slightly different system. This problem should be noted in comparing  $\beta_n$ 's obtained by the SX-method and obtained by other methods, as e.g. potentiometry and spectrophotometry (these techniques may have other pitfalls).

#### 4.6.2 Kinetics

The kinetics of solvent extraction is usually fast if the reactants themselves are in equilibrium in the system. Then, equilibration times of 30 minutes are more then enough. Slow kinetics occur in polymerization, hydrolysis, change of metal valency states (especially between -yl and non-yl states) and for some reagents, who exist in different isomeric forms (keto-enol for beta-diketones).

Special attention must be directed to TTA, which appears in 3 different forms: keto, enol and ketohydrate, besides the dissociated enolate ion. 51KIN/REA have shown that starting with TTA-hydrate in benzene, it takes >300 hours to reach complete equilibrium. However, in the water/benzene system equilibrium is rapidly (i.e. within 1 hour) established between the enol and keto-hydrate, which amount to >95% of total TTA; the remaining <5% is keto-form slowly reaching equilibrium. For rapid experiments, it is advisable to start with TTA in the organic phase, which has been pre-equilibrated with the aqueous phase.

#### 5. EXPERIMENTAL ASPECTS OF THE SXRT TECHNIQUE

#### 5.1 The distribution ratio

In practice, distribution ratios can be measured reliably only between  $10^{-3}$  and  $10^{3}$  by radiometric technique, but many publications present ratios above  $10^{3}$  or below  $10^{-3}$ . Measurements of  $10^{-3}>D>10^{3}$  are very sensitive to experimental errors, as entrainment of small droplets of the high activity phase in the bulk low activity phase. This problem is discussed e.g. in 69AND/AND/LIL. It can be avoided by efficient centrifugation of the samples from each phase before measurement. This leads to an important requirement for the experimental SX work: *Absolute phase purity is required*. *D*-values strongly deviating from 1 should be scrutinized for the technique.

Several techniques have been devised for achieving absolute phase purity for samples of the two phases ("phase purity" is not relevant during the mixing stage). Thus slow stirring in Lewis cells avoids formation of small droplets which may be entrained in the other phase. Watari et al. (82WAT/CUN/FRE) have devised a filter, which is permeable only for one (the aqueous or organic) of the phases. Rydberg et al. (70REI/RYD, 88ALB/OHL/PER) has developed a device with a high speed liquid-flow centrifuge for efficient phase separation in connection with continuos measurement of distribution ratios in solvent extraction studies. In batch experiments, efficient centrifugation and cleanliness may suffice.

To maximize the accuracy in the *D*-measurements, the SX-system is commonly adjusted by changes in pH and [HA] so that the *D*-value stays close to one, then the hazard from the above difficulty is minimized. However, as this requires that the [HA] and pH values are varied in a set of experiments, the measured  $D_{M}$ -values must be *normalized* with respect to these changes in order to make them comparable; see eqns. 13 and 18. The normalization can be made either to constant given values of  $\{H^{\dagger}\}$  and/or  $\{HA\}_{org}$ , or to unit activities. Although activity factors may be included, the normalized (corrected) and the measured (uncorrected)  $D_{M}$ -values are stoichiometric. The various actinide valency states have very different extraction properties: e.g. one valency state may preferably enter one phase and the other valency state the other phase, see Table 1. Thus if a small amount of Pu(IV), which is easily extracted, is formed in a mainly Pu(III) system, from which Pu(III) is poorly extracted, the measured *D*-value will not reflect the Pu(III) behavior. Therefore *the actinide oxidation state must be 100% controlled*; this is further discussed in Section 6.

An interesting aspect of the SX technique is that the formation of aqueous extractant complexes,  $MA_n^{z.n}$ , lowers the concentration of free metal in the aqueous phase. The free metal concentration and pH are the essential parameters in hydrolysis studies (eq. 11), because polynuclear hydrolysis complexes are only formed at "high" free metal ion concentrations (not shown in eq. 11). Therefore, the SXRT technique can be used for studies of complex formation and mononuclear hydrolysis studies at pH-values where macroscopic studies are complicated by formation of polynuclear hydrolysis species. This was demonstrated already in 1950 in a study of aqueous complexation of Th(IV) by acetylacetone up to pH 6 without interference from Th-hydrolysis (50RYD.2), something that would be impossible by potentiometric methods, compare Sect. 9 (54SUL/HIN). This condition seems to be missed in numerous later publications, including important reviews like 92GRE/FUG/KON and 95SIL/BID/RAN; cf. Sect. 7.1.

#### 5.2. Radiometric technique

In Table 7 we list the actinide isotopes most commonly used for radiotracer work. Before using a radiotracer, its radiochemical purity must be verified: the radioactive "signals" should only come from isotopes of the element in the proper chemical state. Many simple detection methods are available for  $\beta\gamma$  counting, like GM-detectors, Na(I) detectors, etc. However, nowadays liquid scintillation seems to be the preferred technique both for  $\beta\gamma$  and for  $\alpha$  emitting radionuclides. For  $\beta\gamma$  counting, self-absorption, backscattering etc must be controlled (95CHO/LIL/RYD); if done systematically,  $\phi_{org} = \phi_{aq}$  or  $\phi_{org}/\phi_{aq}=$ const. (eqn. 4), and measurement of  $D_I$  becomes a simple matter.

If liquid scintillation is used, the radiochemist must check that the detection efficiencies  $\phi_{org}$  and  $\phi_{aq}$  are known (or kept constant) throughout the whole range of parameter values. Often, this is taken for granted and not specified under "Experimental". It is, however, wrong to assume that this is trivial point. For example, using liquid scintillation with an extractant and/or solvent with strong *quenching properties* (like TTA and benzene), the detection efficiency may vary with several of the parameters (pH, [HA], etc). Thus; if the concentration of macroscopic components with quencing properties are varied and no corrections are made the distribution ratio will not correctly describe the chemical conditions (see e.g. 86ALB/RYD).

Nuclide	Half-life	Decay mode	Spec.act.(Bq/g)	Availability
<sup>227</sup> Ac	21.8 y	βγ		Leaching U-ore
<sup>234</sup> Th	24.1 d	βγ	<b>8.6</b> 10 <sup>14</sup>	Milking U
<sup>231</sup> Pa	$3.3 \ 10^4$	α	$1.7 \ 10^9$	Leaching U-ore
<sup>233</sup> Pa	27 d	βγ	7.7 10 <sup>14</sup>	Irradiation Th
<sup>233</sup> U	1.6 10 <sup>5</sup> y	α	3.6 10 <sup>8</sup>	Irradiation Th
<sup>237</sup> Np	$2.1 \ 10^6 \text{ y}$	α	$2.6 \ 10^7$	Reproc. spent reactor fuel
<sup>238</sup> Pu	88 y	α	6.3 10 <sup>11</sup>	D:o
<sup>241</sup> Am	433 y	βγ	1.3 10 <sup>11</sup>	D:o
<sup>242</sup> Cm	163 d	α.	1.2 10 <sup>14</sup>	D:o

Ta	ab	le	7.	A	ctir	nide	isotor	oes	suita	ble	for	radi	iotracei	: worl	ĸ.

At trace concentrations of multivalent ions (as e.g.  $\text{Am}^{3+}$ ), a considerable fraction of the ions (sometimes >90%) may sorb on the walls of the experimental vessel, depending on ionic strength, pH, etc. (e.g. 83CAC/CHO.2). The sorption may occur with different kinetics, further complicating the trace measurements (e.g. 52RYD/RYD). Thus without controlling the details of the *sorption losses in <u>both phases</u>*, D may vary with pH in an uncontrolled way; again the extraction curve will deviate from the "true" one.

At metal trace concentrations,  $[M_{tot}] \le 10^{-6}$ , *impurities* at this concentration level in the chemicals used may complex the metal to a degree which competes with the OH<sup>-</sup> or A<sup>-</sup> complexation (e.g. 66LIL/RYD). Such impurities are likely to ruin hydrolysis studies.

When high radioactivity concentrations of the radiotracer is used, the radioactive decay causes *radiation decomposition (radiolysis)* of the matrix (the water or the organic solvent). The radiolysis products are highly reactive radicals, which may interact with the central atoms as well as ligands, either oxidizing or reducing them. This fact should be born in mind, particulary in work with trace metal concentrations, so that the radiolysis does not interfere with the extraction process. Interfering radiolysis products may be controlled by special techniques, such as adding a "protective metal ion" (*scavengers*), which binds to the radiolysis products (e.g. 67LIL/RYD).

These examples serve as a reminder that the RTSX technique requires considerable care (as all techniques do, of course) in order to yield results of high quality. Unfortunately many authors using the SXRT technique inform too little about the technique per se. The reader is thus left only with the reputation of the researchers or of the laboratory of the work.

#### 6. ACTINIDE REDOX EQUILIBRIA

The extraction constants for the An-TTA complexes vary considerably: according to Table 1, for An(III)-TTA log  $K_{ex}$  is about -8, for An(IV)-TTA being around +5 (except for Th), and for An(VI) about -2. Thus the extraction order is An(IV) >> An(VI) >> An(VI) >> An(III). These conditions seem to be valid for all actinide - hard ligand type of complexes, and is used for industrial separation of actinides, e.g. in uranium production and in reprocessing of spent reactor fuel. (One of the first plutonium separation process was dubbed the REDOX-process for this reason.)

#### 6.1 Effect of impure valency state

Radiotracer measurements (i.e. "counting") does not distinguish between various actinide valency states, as e.g. spectrophotometry does. As an example, in a solution of Pu(III) and Pu(IV), Pu(III) will have the same specific radioactivity (in Bq/mole) as Pu(IV), if the isotope exchange reactions have reached equilibrium (which is a requirement for the RT method). Thus, in a SX experiment

$$D_{\mathrm{Pu}} = ([\mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{III})_{\mathrm{tot}}]_{\mathrm{org}} + [\mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{IV})_{\mathrm{tot}}]_{\mathrm{org}}) / ([\mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{III})_{\mathrm{tot}}]_{\mathrm{aq}} + [\mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{IV})_{\mathrm{tot}}]_{\mathrm{aq}})$$
(Eq. 31)

Because  $D(\text{III})_{\text{Pu}} \ll D(\text{IV})_{\text{Pu}}$ , any traces of Pu(III) formed by reduction of Pu(IV) (e.g. by radiolysis or organic impurities) will go into the aqueous phase; this will lower the  $D_{\text{Pu}}$  value, which could be interpreted as hydrolysis (or formation of hydrophilic complexes). And *vice versa*, in a Pu(III) solution any trace of Pu(IV) formed will go into the organic phase, raising the  $D_{\text{Pu}}$  value, which would obscure the effects of formation of hydrophilic complexes. Therefore, control of the oxidation state, depressing all formation of An(III) in

studies of An(IV) hydrolysis, and depressing all formation of An(IV) in studies of An(III) complexation is imperative when using the RTSX technique. For this purpose, holding reductants and oxidants are used. We briefly review a few such redox systems:

In Table 8 we calculate the effect of a small amount ("impurity") of Pu(VI) in a study of a Pu(IV) system: we assume  $\log K_{ex}(Pu4) \approx 5.0$ , and  $\log K_{ex}(Pu6) \approx -2.0$  (cf. Table 1), for pH 0-3 and [TTA]<sub>org</sub>=0.1 M.  $D_{correct}$  refers to the value which is obtained in absence of any Pu(VI) impurity, while  $D_{obs}$  is the actual value observed in the presence of the impurity (in modern jargon, a *virtual reality*). Hydrolysis is not included (though it is very strong for Pu(IV) at pH 3).

pH	[Pu4] <sub>tot</sub>	[Pu6]tot	$D_{Pu6}$	D <sub>correct</sub> (if only Pu(IV))	D <sub>obs</sub> (virtuellt)
0	100	0		10	10
0	99	1	10-4	10	9.0
0	95	5	10-4	10	6.33
1	100	0		105	>> 1000
1	99	1	10-2	105	99
1	95	5	10-2	10 <sup>5</sup>	19
2	100	0		10 <sup>9</sup>	>>1000
2	99	1	1.0	10 <sup>9</sup>	199
2	95	5	1.0	10 <sup>9</sup>	39

Table 8. Effect on observed distribution ratio for 1% and 5% Pu(VI) in Pu(IV)

From the Table it is seen that even small "impurities" (actinide in wrong valency state) can have a major effect on the measured  $D_M$  value. As  $K_{ex}$  is even smaller for Pu(III) than for Pu(IV) (Table 1), such an impurity in Pu(IV) can be even more disturbing.

Because of this it is imperative in SX-studies of actinides which can appear in different valency states to ascertain that only one valency state exists in the system both initially and at the end of the experiments, provided that no special technique is used to measure the concentration of several valency states simultaneously (cf. 55RYD).

## 6.2 The air-water system (neglecting complex formation):

Actinides have mostly been studied at ambient conditions, exposed to air at room (or 25°C) temperature. Under these conditions Ac(III), Th(IV), U(VI), Am(III), Cm(III), Bk(III) and Cf(III) are all stable, while the (IV)/(V) states of Pa and the (III)/(IV)/(V)/(VI) states of Np and Pu are unstable (i.e. no single oxidation state will dominate the solution).

The ratio of [An(III)]/[An(IV)] in air-water is obtained from the relation

$$\log R_{3/4} = \log[\mathrm{An}^{3+}]/[\mathrm{An}^{4+}] = (E_{\mathrm{An}} - 1.229)/(0.059 + p\mathrm{H} - \frac{1}{4} \log p_{\mathrm{O2}}$$
(Eq. 32)

where  $E_{An}$  is the normal (reduction) potential for the reaction

$$\operatorname{An}^{4+} + e^{-} \leftrightarrow \operatorname{An}^{3+}$$
 (Eq. 33)

The reduction potentials are  $E_{\rm U}$  -0.631 V,  $E_{\rm Np}$  0.155 V, and  $E_{\rm Pu}$  0.982 V; the value 1.229 V refers to the reaction

$$O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \leftrightarrow 2 H_2O$$
 (Eq. 34) (Eq. 34)

Assuming trace concentrations of  $O_2$ ,  $p_{O2} = 10^{-8}$ , then

 $\log R_{3/4}(U) = -29.5 + pH$  $\log R_{3/4}(Np) = -16.2 + pH$  $\log R_{3/4}(Pu) = -2.19 + pH$ 

Thus, it should be easy to keep Pu(III) in anaerobic solutions at higher pH, while considerable care must be exercised with Np(III). It would be almost impossible to keep U(III), particularly at trace concentrations. This agrees with experimental experience. In Np and Pu solutions, where there is radiolysis, Np(III) and Pu(III) are slowly oxidized even in the absence of air.

#### 6.3. Presence of a strong reductant

Strong reductants can reduce U, Np and Pu to the (III) or (IV) state, from which the U(III) and Np(III) are rapidly oxidized by air to the (IV) state, which is fairly stable (i.e. only slow oxidation) in acid solutions of U, Np and Pu. In order to preserve the Pu(III), or U(IV) state, a strong ("holding") reductant must be present, like Cr(II), SO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> (hydrazine) or NH<sub>2</sub>OH (hydroxyl amine); cf. e.g. 75AHR/LIL/RYD. Consider the An(III)/(IV) system in the presence of hydroxyl amine:

With the half cell

$$N_2 + 2H_2O + 4H^+ + 2e^- \leftrightarrow 2 NH_3OH^+$$
 (E<sub>N</sub> = -1.87 V) (Eq. 35)

one gets

$$\log R_{3/4} = (E_{\rm Ap} + 1.87)/0.059 + \log [\rm NH_3OH^+] + 2pH$$
 (Eq. 36)

Assuming a large excess of reductant, putting [NH<sub>3</sub>OH<sup>+</sup>]=1, one gets

 $\log R_{3/4}(U) = 21.0 + 2pH,$ 

 $\log R_{3/4}(Np) = 34.3 + 2pH$  $\log R_{3/4}(Pu) = 48.3 + 2pH.$ 

Thus, with this reductant the An(III) species are stabilized and should be dominant. Radiolysis should have no effect.

#### 6.4. Effect of hydrolysis of An(III) and An(IV)

The hydrolysis of An(III) and An(IV) shifts the redox equilibria in favor of the strongest complex former. Considering the first monomeric hydrolysis reaction, and using literature estimates for the  ${}^*\beta_{\rm p}$  values

From literature	$\log^* \beta_1(An3)$	$\log^*\beta_1(An4)$
for U	-8.0	-1.5
for Np	-7.5	-1.0
for Pu	-7.0	-0.5
for Am	-6.5	0.0

using An3 for An(III), and An4 for An(IV), for easy reading. Abbreviating the ratio between *all* An(III) and *all* An(IV) species (for first hydrolysis step),  $R_{3/4}(all)$  (species), one obtains

19

$$R_{3/4}(all) = R_{3/4}(An) \left(1 + {}^{*}\beta_{1}(An3)[H^{+}]^{-1}\right) / \left(1 + {}^{*}\beta_{1}(An4)[H^{+}]^{-1}\right)$$
(Eq. 37.a)

where  $R_{3/4}(An)$  refers to the actinide redox systems in the tables above, Eq. (37.a) may be generalized to all p monomeric hydrolysis steps (though experimental values may lack for the higher  $\beta_p$  values)

$$R_{3/4}(all) = R_{3/4}(An) \left(1 + \Sigma^* \beta_1(An3)[H^+]^{-p}\right) / \left(1 + \Sigma^* \beta_1(An4)[H^+]^{-p}\right)$$
(Eq. 37.b)

At pH 5, the ratio between the parenthesis, (...)/(...) is about 1/3,000 for U and 1/30,000 for Pu in the aerated system. Thus hydrolysis shifts the equilibrium in the system towards the +4 state.<sup>4</sup> This means that hydrolysis worsens the problem of studying the hydrolysis of U(III), Np(III) and Pu(III). Thus, the conclusion reached at 6.1 that Pu(III) should be easy to study in the air-water system becomes less valid as pH increases. However, in the presence of a strong reductant (Sect. 6.2),  $R_{3/4}$  is so large that hydrolysis will have little effect, and the +3 state should still dominate at all pH's.

#### 6.5. Effect of other hydrophilic complexes

A large number of organic compounds have been used for extraction of actinides, and it is likely that substantial amounts of hydrophilic complexes are formed in the aqueous phase between these extractants and the actinide ions. However, only few such systems have been analyzed in such detail as to provide formation constants for the  $MA_n^{2-n}$  complexes; see Sect. 8.1 an 8.2.

In analyzing the effect of TTA complexation on the shift of redox equilibria for the actinides, it is most convenient to use the extraction constants  $K_{ex}$ , which are fairly well known (Table 1), rather then the hydrophilic complex formation constants  $\beta_n$  and distribution constants  $K_{DC}$  of the metal complexes, which are much less known (Tables 4 and 5). With eq. 6.a and referring  $K_{ex}(An3)$  and  $K_{ex}(An4)$  to the extraction constants by TTA (benzene) of An(III) and An(IV), respectively, the following data are used (mainly taken from 69DES/HUS/GUI and 77DUP/GUI:

for U	$\log K_{\rm ex}({\rm An3}) = -8.2$	$\log K_{\rm ex}({\rm An4}) = 5.0$
for Np	-8.1	5.1
for Pu	-8.0	5.2
for Am	-7.9	5.3

For simplicity we assume  $[HA]_{org}=1$  (commonly it is ca. 0.1 M up to pH 7).

The ratio of total An(III) to total An(IV) (neglecting hydrolysis),  $R_{3/4}$ , is

$$R_{3/4}(all) = R_{3/4}(An) (1 + K_{ex}(An3)[HA]_{org}^{3}[H^{+}]^{-3}) / (1 + K_{ex}(An4)[HA]_{org}^{4}[H^{+}]^{-4})$$
(Eq. 37.c)

At  $[HA]_{org}=1$ , one gets for all the actinides U, Np and Pu that  $R_{3/4}(all)$  (U, Np, Pu) » 10<sup>-9</sup> at pH's 1-5. Thus the TTA extraction system strongly shifts equilibrium in favor of the An(IV) state. However, using the  $R_{3/4}$  values of Sect. 6.2 for the presence of a strong reductant as hydroxylamine, it should in principle still be possible to keep U, Np and Pu all in a stable An(III) systems.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Most complex formers, L, will do the same as the  $An(IV)L_n$  complexes in general are more stable than the  $An(III)L_n$  complexes. It is an important task in actinide separation to find deviations from this rule.

Similar estimates can be done for higher oxidation states of Np and Pu. Though they are of importance in nuclear waste analysis, they are outside the main purpose of this paper (to discuss the SXRT technique) and would add unnecessary length.

#### 7. ACTINIDE HYDROLYSIS

#### 7.1. The hexavalent state

Aerated U(VI) solutions are stable with no risk of reduction to lower valency states. The hydrolysis of U(VI) has been extensively studied (92GRE/FUG/KON) by potentiometric and spectrometric methods, and to some extent by solvent extraction (but not with TTA) and radiometric methods (as well as other metal detection methods). The SX studies are summarized in Table 9.1 (actually, no study qualifies in all respects for the title of this review).

55RYD applied the SX technique to the hydrolysis of U(VI) in 0.1 M NaHClO<sub>4</sub> using acetylacetone as extractant, and light absorption techniques for U determination. 60STA determined U(VI) hydrolysis by SX using benzoylacetone as extractant. In both these cases, the [U(VI)]<sub>tot</sub> was too high (10 and 0.1 mM, respectively) to get acceptance by the OECD/NEA Data Bank review committee (92GRE/FUG/KON), because for the lack of considering polynuclear hydrolysis species. (This can, however, be debated as the reviewers in 92GRE/FUG/KON did not account for the actinide complexation by the extractant in the aqueous phase, which leads to a much lower free metal ion concentration, than formally calculated without this consideration; see Sect. 5.1). 54DAY/POW extracted U(VI) by TTA, but no investigation was made on hydrolysis (other metal-TTA extractions are listed e.g. in 64STA, 70DE/KHO/CHA and 77SEK/HAS). 83CAC/CHO determined the first hydrolysis constant of U(VI) (using <sup>233</sup>U of high specific activity) by SX at several ionic strengths, and extrapolated to a thermodynamic hydrolysis constant; as extractant, they used dibenzoylmethane. Although the [U(VI)]<sub>tot</sub> = 10<sup>-6</sup> M this was not sufficiently low to allow neglecting polynuclear complexes, according to 92GRE/FUG/KON.

The OECD/NEA Data Bank gives selected (or preferred) equilibrium constants, presented in **bold** letters in Table 9. Despite the critics, the SX technique gives values for the U(VI) hydrolysis about  $\pm 1$  order of magnitude of the selected value.

Only one SX study (85BID/TAN/CHA) has been made of the Np(VI) hydrolysis. Such studies require the presence of a strong oxidant, as e.g. persulfuric acid, which was assumed not to form any An(VI) complexes. In the presence of a strong oxidant, Np(V), which is less extractable, is not likely to interfere. The result, however, is not in accord with the selected constant for Np(VI), or with the U(VI) values.

#### 7.2 The pentavalent state

Several actinides can be produced in water in the pentavalent state. Pa(V) is stable in aqueous solutions, but its hydrolysis is somewhat unclear. 65GUI only obtained mixed OH-TTA complexes in his comprehensive study. For the other actinides, other valency states form much stronger and more extractable complexes, so the equilibrium easily shifts away from the pentavalent state. The data are meager in 97NEA-TDB, but their selected formation constant is in the same range (considering the difficulties of studying this system due to the weak complexation and labile valency state) as that obtained by SXRT (85BID/TAN/CHA). It is believed that Np(V) and Pu(V) are important species in sea water, possibly as carbonate complexes.

	22	
Table 9. Hydrolysis of	actinides studied by	solvent extraction

		9.1 He:	xavaler	nt actii	nides				······································
Metal	Aq. conditions	Extractant		Log	$\beta_1$	Log	$\beta_2$ L	.og <sup>*</sup> β	Reference
U(VI)	0.1 M NaClO <sub>4</sub>	acetylacetone		-4.20		-9.40			55RYD
U(VI)	0.1 M NaClO <sub>4</sub>	benzoylacetone		-5.0		-10.5	-	17.1	60STA
U(VI)	0.0-1.0 NaClO <sub>4</sub>	dibenzoylmethane		-5.9-6	5.0				83CAC/CHO.2
UÙU	0	Selected constants:		-5.2		<-10.3	3 -]	19.2	92GRE/FUG/KON
Np(VI)	0.2 M NaClO <sub>4</sub>	-		-9,60					85BID/TAN/CHA
Np(VI)	0	Selected constant: <sup>5</sup>		-5.5					97NEA-TDB
	9.2 Pentavalent actinides								
Metal	Ag. conditions	Extractant		Log	$\beta_1$	Log	$\beta_2$ L	$\log^*\beta_3$	Reference
Pa(V)	1-3 Li H ClO	ТТА		mixed	1				65GUI
Nn(V)	$0.2 \text{ M} \text{ NaClO}_4$	TTA/TOMA		-9.60					85BID/TAN/CHA
Np(V)	0	Selected constant: <sup>5</sup>		<-11.4					97NEA-TDB
		9.3 Tet	ravaler	nt actii	nides				
Metal	Aq. conditions	Extractant		Log	$\beta_1$	Log ,	$\beta_2$ L	$\log^*\beta_3$	Reference
Pa(IV)	1-3 Li H ClO	ТТА		-0.14		-0.66	-7	2.43	65GUI
Nn(IV)	10LiHCIO	TTA		-0.5		-1.5			77DUP/GUI
Nn(IV)	0	Selected constant: <sup>5</sup>		-0.3					97NEA-TDB
Pu(IV)	1.0 Li,H ClO <sub>4</sub>	TTA, DBM, ITP/K <sub>2</sub> C	$Cr_2O_7$	-0.45		-0.75	-3	3.3	72&75MET/GUI
Pu(IV)	0.5 NO3	,		-0.67					74SOL
Pu(IV)	0	Selected constant: <sup>5</sup>		-0.5	وروا والمحافظة الم				97NEA-TDB
9 4 Trivalent actinides									
Metal	Aq. conditions	Extractant	Log /	31	Log	$\beta_2$	Log	β3	Ref.
Pu(III)	0.2 LiClO <sub>4</sub>	TTA: HyAm	-3.80						75HUB/HUS/GUI
	0	Selected constant: <sup>5</sup>	-6.8						97NEA-TDB
Am(III)	0.1 H,LiClO <sub>4</sub>	TTA	-5.92						69DES/HUS/GUI
Am(III)	0.1 H.LiClO4	TTA	-5.3						73HUS/HUB/BRI
Am(III)	0.1 LiClO <sub>4</sub>	TTA	-5.30						76HUB/HUS/BRI
Am(III)	0.2 NaClO <sub>4</sub>	HDBM			-13.6	59	-12.87	7	82BID
Am(III)	1.0 NaClO <sub>4</sub>	TBP	-7.5						82LUN
Am(III)	0.70 NaCl	DBM	-7.54						83CAC/CHO
Am(III)	0.5 NaClO <sub>4</sub>	DNN	-6.8						87RAO/MAH/NAT
Am(III)	0	Selected constants: <sup>5</sup>	-6.4±0.	.7	-14.1	±0.6	-25.7±	.0.5	95SIL/BID/RAN
Cm(III)	0.1 H,LiClO4	TTA	-5.92						69DES/HUS/GUI
Cm(III)	0.1 H,LiClO <sub>4</sub>	TTA	-6.05						69GUI/FER
Cm(III)	0.1 H,LiClO <sub>4</sub>	TTA	-5.4						73HUS/HUB/BRI
Bk(III)	0.1 H,LiClO <sub>4</sub>	TTA	-5.66						69DES/HUS/GUI
Bk(III)	0.1 H,LiClO <sub>4</sub>	TTA	-5.1						73HUS/HUB/BRI
Cf(III)	0.1 H,LiClO <sub>4</sub>	TTA	-5.62					-	69DES/HUS/GUI
Cf(III)	0.1 H,LiClO <sub>4</sub>	TTA	-5.05						73HUS/HUB/BRI
HDBM =	HDBM = 1,3diphenyl 1,3 propanedione in benzene HyAm = hydroxyl amine								

HDBM = 1,3diphenyl 1,3 propanedione in benzene TTA = thenoyl trifluoro acetone in benzene TBP = tributyl phosphate 100% DBM = dibenzoyl methane in benzene DNN = dinonyl naphtalene sulphonic acid in benzene ITP = isopropyl tropolone

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Preliminary.

#### 7.3 The tetravalent state

Although many studies of An(IV) complexation in aqueous solutions have been carried out by the SXRT technique, very few An(IV) hydrolysis studies have been made, probably due to experimental difficulties: (i) the An(IV) hydrolyses already in very acid solutions (pH 0-1), (ii) they very rapidly form polymeric species, and (iii) the An(IV) state easily disproportionates between other valency state (in "reactor grade" plutonium due to radiolysis)<sup>6</sup>. Nevertheless, the values obtained in Table 9.3 agree fairly well with the Selected constants (97NEA-TDB).

#### 7.4 The trivalent state

For those actinides, which are chemically stable in their trivalent state, the determination of their hydrolysis constants is rather straight forward, with due consideration of the difficulties with trace concentrations and short half-lives.

Table 9.4 lists the results on An(III). While U(III) is very unstable in aqueous solutions, Np(III) is stable in 1M HClO<sub>4</sub> in absence of air; however, no SX study of its hydrolysis has been reported. Pu(III) is also stable in absence of air, but due to the strong tendency of Pu(IV) to form complexes (with OH, TTA, etc; see Sect. 6) a holding reductant must be present like hydroxyl amine or hydrazine. The Pu(III) system investigated by 75HUB/HUS/GUI shows slow kinetics, the data are scattered, and the formation constant estimated is far from the Selected value by 97NEA-TDB. The large deviation can probably be attributed to experimental difficulties.

Distribution RTSX	Electro- migration	El.migr. + RTSX	Potentiometr. titration	Solubility	Spectroscopy /technique
-5.48 -2.57 -7.26	-2.78	-6.69	-6.22	-7.26 ≥-8.0 -6.0±0.8	-7.20 PAS -7.06 PAS -7.06 LIPAS
-4.86 -6.78					-7.10 TRLIFS

Table 10. $Log^*\beta$	hydrolysis constants f	r Am(III) obtained by	different techniques
------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------

PAS = PhotoAcoustic Spectrophotometry

LIPAS = Laser Induced PAS

TRLIFS = Time Resolved Laser Induced Fluorescence Spectrometry

Several groups have studied the hydrolysis of Am(III); from Table 9.4, the average value of the formation constant obtained by the SXRT method is  $^*\beta_1 = -6.4\pm 1.0$ , i.e. the same as recommended by 97NEA-TDB. It should be noted that Am(III) is perfectly stable under the experimental conditions. Table 10 compares results by the SXRT with those obtained by other techniques. The scatter of data within the SXRT technique is as bad as that between the different techniques; there is no single or simple explanation for this.

The French research group of Guillaumont has also determined hydrolysis constants for heavier trivalent actinides; see Table 9.4. The values seem reasonable, as compared to the other trivalent actinides.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This is only important for long-time experiments.

## 8. OTHER HYDROPHILIC ACTINIDE COMPLEXES STUDIED BY SXRT

#### 8.1 Inorganic ligands

Table 11 surveys investigations by the SXRT technique of hydrophilic complexation of actinides. We will not review these systems here, as they will be covered in the forthcoming publication 97NEA-TDB.

#### 8.2 Organic ligands

Over 700 solvent extractions systems for actinides are given in 77SEK/HAS; of these about 150 refer to extractions with TTA. Very few of these studies have been directed towards the determination of hydrophilic complexes, either with the extractants or with other hydrophilic organic compounds. This is obvious from Table 12, which covers all studies of hydrophilic complex formation between actinides and organic ligands found for solvent extraction. Some of these studies have been mentioned above in this review.

#### 9. COMPARISON BETWEEN RTSX AND OTHER TECHNIQUES

The difference shown in Figure 1 for equilibrium constants determined by SX and by other techniques is claimed to be an effect of the use of tracer concentrations and not of the SX technique itself (77DUP/GUI); it is not quite clear how that can be so. Large (too large?) actinide(III) hydrolysis constants have been obtained both by Guillaumont and co-workers using SX (69DES/HUS/GUI, 69GUI/FER, 72HUS/HUB, 73HUS/HUB, 75HUB/HUS/GUI, 76HUB/HUS; see also 77DUP/GUI), and by researchers using electromigration (69MAR/KIK, 72SHA/STE, 74MEF/KRO), where the tracer scale usually refers to  $\leq 10^{-5}$  M [M<sub>tot</sub>]. However, many publications using the RTSX technique have yielded results in agreement with EMF, VIS and other techniques, including in hydrolysis studies. We discuss some of these important papers, which used TTA.

Sullivan and Hindman (54SUL/HIN) determined the sulfate complexation of Np(IV) in 2M Na(H)ClO<sub>4</sub> at 25°C by solvent extraction of the long-lived alpha-emitter <sup>237</sup>Np ([Np] $\leq 10^{-4}$  M) by extraction with TTA (log[TTA]<sub>org</sub> -0.7 to -1.4 M) in benzene. They found that the complexation of Np(IV) by TTA in the aqueous phase became evident already at [H<sup>+</sup>] $\leq 0.2$  M (i.e. the curve log*D versus* [TTA<sub>tot</sub>] began to deviate from the reference slope of -4). By measuring the reduction in the *relative (normalized) distribution ratio* as a function of the concentration of the hydrophilic ligand HSO<sub>4</sub><sup>-1</sup> (log conc. -3.1 to -0.8), they calculated the formation constants for NpHSO<sub>4</sub><sup>3+</sup> and Np(HSO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub><sup>2+</sup>. Activity factor corrections were introduced, also for HA and NpA<sub>4</sub> in the organic phase (51KIN/REA). By measuring the temperature dependency, the enthalpy and entropy of the complex reactions were obtained. These values are in good agreement with those of Patil and Ramakrishna (73PAT/RAM), using another SX system. The laboratories of Sullivan et al.

Ermakov and Stary (67ERM/STA) determined aqueous complexation of Am, Cm, Cf and Fm in 0.5 M NH<sub>4</sub>ClO<sub>4</sub> by lactic acid using solvent extraction with TTA (0.5 M) in benzene. The actinide concentrations were 1.8 10<sup>-10</sup> M <sup>241</sup>Am, 7.6 10<sup>-12</sup> M <sup>244</sup>Cm, 10<sup>-19</sup> M <sup>246</sup>Cf and 2 10<sup>-19</sup> M <sup>252</sup>Fm. The effect of lactic acid concentration (0.1 to 0.05 M) and pH (2.5 to 3) on the distribution ratio of the An(III) and, at the same time, of Eu(III), was studied, from which the formation constant  $\beta_3$  for An(Lac)<sub>3</sub><sup>-1</sup> was calculated: log $\beta_3$ (Am)  $\approx$ 

25

 Table 11. Complexation of actinides by inorganic ligands studied by SXRT.

 Complexation through hydrolysis is listed in Table 9, and by organic ligands in Table 14.References are given in year and first 3 letters of first and second author, see end of Table.

Actinide ion	F	CI	Br <sup>-</sup> , I <sup>-</sup>	NO3	s04 <sup>2-</sup>	Others
Ac(III)		69SEKSAK	70RAOSHA	70RAOSHA	69SEKSAK	SCN <sup>-</sup> 68RAOSHA,69SEKSAK
		70RAOSHA			70RAOSHA	Ox <sup>2</sup> 69SEKSAK,96CHOCHE
						H <sub>x</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> 70RAOSHA
Pa(IV)	65GUI	65GUI			65GUI	
Pa(V)	65GUI	65GUI			65GUI,	Ox <sup>2</sup> · 65GUI
	66BUKFLE	68GUIBOU			73LECGUI	
	67KOLRYA				74LUN	
U(IV)	54DAYPOW	54DAYPOW		54DAYPOW	54DAYPOW	SCN 55DAYWIL
	69NOR	55DAYWIL		61 WILKED	55DAYWIL	
		70LAHKNO		69RAOPAI	69RAOPAI	
				70LAHKNO		
U(VI)	69NOR	70LAHKNO	88KHACHO	70LAHKNO	58ALL	H <sub>x</sub> PO₄ 57THA
	76PATRAM	88KHACHO			76PATRAM	
Np(IV)	75PATRAM76	66SHINAZ	75RAGRAM	66SHINAZ	73PATRAMa,b	Ox <sup>2</sup> · 79KUSGAN
	CHOUNR	71DANCHI	79KUSGAN	71DANCHI		
		75PATRAM	80PATRAM			
Np(V)	79RAOGUD	71DANCHI	79RAOGUD	71DANCHI	79RAOGUD	NO2, SCN, IO3 79RAOGUD
	84CHORAO	79RAOGUD		79RAOGUD	80PATRAM	
	85INOTOC	80PATRAM		80PATRAM	85INOTOC	NO <sub>2</sub> ,SCN 81PATRAM
		95NECFAN				H <sub>x</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> 85INOTOC
Np(VI)	68AHRBRA	71DANCHI		71DANCHI	76PATRAM	H <sub>x</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> 69MOS
	76PATRAM	78BEDFID				
	84CHORAO					
Pu(III)				59SCHTIM		SCN 65CHOKET
Pu(IV)	76BAGRAM	66DANORL	66DANORL	49ZEBNEU	64LUC	Ox <sup>2-</sup> 79KUSGAN
	77BAGRAM	76BAGRAM	75RAGRAM	64LAXPAT	73PATRAMa	
· ·	84NASCLE			66DANORL	73PATRAMb	
				76BAGRAM	76BAGRAM	
				77BAGRAM	77BAGRAM	
Pu(VI)	76PATRAM	65MAZSIV		52HEIHIC	76PATRAM	H <sub>x</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> 69MOS
	84CHORAO	78BEDFID		65GHOSWA		
4				65MAZSIV		
Am(III)	69AZILYL	62PEPMAS	82FUKKAW	62PEPMAS	52HEIHIC	SCN <sup>-</sup> 64,65SEK, 65CHOKET,
	76CHOUNR	64SEK		64BANPAT65	64SEK	71KOHNAR,
		65SEK		CHOSTR70L	65GHOSWA	72HARPET,
		71KHONAR		AHKNO71KH	65SEK2	73CHIDAN,
		82FUKKAW		ONAR	67CARCHO	74KHOMAT,
				73CHIDAN	67CARCHO2	74KINCHO
					68AZILYL	H <sub>x</sub> PO₄ 86RAOMAH
					72MCDCOL	88RAOMAH
						78RAOBAG
						80KHOMAT

26

**References to Table 11.** E L Zebroski, F K Neumann, fr. 79CLE 49ZEB/NEU D L Heisig, T E Hicks, USAEC Report UCRL-1664, 1952 52HEI/HIC R A Day, R M Powers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 76(1954)3895 54DAY/POW R A Day, R N Wilhite, F D Hamilton, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 77(1955)3180 55DAY/WIL/HAM B J Thamer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 79(1957)4298 57THA K A Allen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 80(1958)4133 58ALL V B Schevchenko, V G Timoshev, A A Volkova, Soviet J. At. Energy 6(1959)293 59SCH/TIM/VOL A S Wilson, W E Keder J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 18(1961)259 61WIL/KED D F Peppard, G W Mason, I Hucher J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 24(1962)881 62PEP/MAS/HUC B M L Bansal, S K Patil, H D Sharma J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 26(1964)993 64BAN/PAT/SHA T S Laxminarayanan, S K Patil, H D Sharma, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 26(1964)1001 64LAX/PAT/SHA M Lucas, Radiochim. Acta 3(1964)126 64LUC T Sekine J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 26(1964)1463 64SEK G R Choppin, J Ketels J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 27(1965)1335 65CHO/KET G R Choppin, W F Strazik Inorg. Chem. 4(1965)1250 65CHO/STR A S Gosh Mazumdar, C K Swaramakrishnan, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 65(1965)2423 65GHO/SWA R Guillaumont, Physico-Chimie du Protactinium, CNRS, Paris 1966 65GUI A S Mazumdar Gosh, C K Sivaramakrishnan, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 27(1965)2423 65MAZ/SIV T Sekine, Acta Chem. Scand. 19(1965)1435; ibid. 1469, 1519 65SEK, 65SEK2 M N Bukhsh, J Flegenheimer, F M Hall, A G Maddock, C F deMiranda, J. Inorg. Nucl. 66BUK/FLE/HAL Chem. 28(1966)421 P R Danesi, F Orlandini, G Scibona, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 28(1966)1047 66DAN/ORL/SCI I V Shilin, V K Nazarov, Sovjet Radiochemistry 8(1966)474 66SHI/NAZ R G De Carvalho, G R Choppin J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 29(1967)725; ibid. 737 67CAR/CHO, 2 T Sekine, D Dyrssen, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 29(1967)1481 67SEK/DYR R T Kolarich, V A Tyan, R P Schuman, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 29(1967)783 67KOL/RYA/SCH T Sekine, D Dyrssen, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 29(1967)1457; ibid. 1481 67SEK/DYR, 2 S Ahrland, L Brandt Acta Chem. Scand. 22(1968)106 68AHR/BRA A Aziz, S J Lyle, S J Naqvi J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 30(1968)1013 68AZI/LYL/NAQ R Guillaumont, G Bouissieres, R Muxart, Actinides Review 1(1968)135 68GUI/BOU/MUX C L Dao, C J Shahani, K A Mathew, Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Letters 4(1968)655 68RAO/SHA/MAT A Aziz, S J Lyle J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 31(1969)3471 69AZI/LYL A I Moskvin Sovj. Radiochim. 11(1969)447 69MOS B Norén, Acta Chem. Scand. 23(1969)931 69NOR C L Rao, S A Pai, Radiochim. Acta. 12(1969)135 69RAO/PAI T Sekine, M Sakairi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 42(1969)2712 69SEK/SAK H Lahr, W Knoch, Radiochim. Acta 13(1970)1 70LAH/KNO V K Rao, C J Shahani, C L Rao, Radiochim. Acta 14(1970)31 70RAO/SHA/RAO P R Danesi, R Chiarizia, G Scibona, G D'Alessandro, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 33(1971)3503 71DAN/CHI/SCI P K Khopkar, P Narayanankutty J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 33(1971)495 71KHO/NAR H D Harmon, J R Peterson, W J McDowell, C F Coleman, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 72HAR/PET 34(1972)1381 W J McDowell, C F Coleman, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 34(1972)2837 72MCD/COL R Chiarizia, P R Danesi, G Scibona J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 35(1973)3595 73CHI/DAN M F LeCloarec, R Guillaumont, C F deMiranda, J C Franck, Radiochim. Acta 20(1973)1 73LEC/GUI/DEM S K Patil, V V Ramakrishna, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 35(1973)3333 73PAT/RAMa S K Patil, V V Ramakrishna, Radiochim. Acta 19(1973)27 73PAT/RAMb P K Khopkar, J N Mathur J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 36(1974)3819 74KHO/MAT W F Kinard, G R Choppin, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 36(1974)1131 74KIN/CHO R Lundqvist, Diss. Chalmers Univ. Techn. Gothenburg 1974 74LUN S K Patil, V V Ramakrishna, Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Letters 11(1975)421 75PAT/RAM R Raghavan, V V Ramakrishna, S K Patil, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem . 37 (1975)1540 75RAG/RAM/PAT S W Bagawade, V V Ramakrishna, S K Patil, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 38(1976)1339 76BAG/RAM/PAT G R Choppin, P J Unrein, P. 97 in Transplutonium elements (Eds. W Müller and R 76CHO/UNR Lindner), North-Holland Publ. Co. 1976 S K Patil, V V Ramakrishna, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 38(1976)1075. 76PAT/RAM S V Bagawde, V V Ramakrishna, S K Patil, Radiochem. Radioanal. Letters 31-2(1977)56 77BAG/RAM/PAT L Bednarczyk, I Fidelis, J. Radioanal. Letters 45(1978)325 78BED/FID P R V Rao, S V Bagawde, V V Ramakrishna, S K Patil J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 78RAO/BAG/RAM 40(1978)123 J M Cleveland, The Chemistry of Plutonium, Am. Nucl. Soc., La Grange, Ill., USA 1979 79CLE K M Kusumakumari, S P Gandhe, N M Gudi, S V Bagawde, P R Vasudeva Rao, V V 79KUS/GAN/GUD

	Ramakrishna, A Ramanujam, S K Patil, Bhaba Atomic Res. Cent. Report 1005, p. 33,1979
79RAO/GUD/BAG	P R V Rao, N M Gudi, S V Bagawde, S K Patil, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 41(1979)235.
80KHO/MAT	P K Khopkar, J N Mathur J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 42(1980)109
80PAT/RAM/GUD	S K Patil, V V Ramakrishna, N M Gudi, Proc. Nucl. Chem. Radiochem. Symposium 1980
82FUK/KAW/MIT	T Fukasawa, I Kawasuji, T Mitsugashira Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 55(1982)726
84CHO/RAO	G R Choppin, L F Rao, Radiochim. Acta 37(1984)143
84NAS/CLE	K L Nash, J M Cleveland, Radiochim. Acta 36(1984)129
85TNO/TOC	Y Inoue, O Tochiyama, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 58(1985)2228
86RAO/MAH/NAT	V K Rao, G R Mahajan, P R Natarajan Radiochim. Acta 40(1986)145
88KHA/CHO	F I Khalili, G R Choppin, E N Rizkalla Inorg. Chim. Acta 143(1988)131
88RAO/MAH/NAT	V K Rao, G R Mahajan, P R Natarajan Lanthanide and Actinide Research 2(1988)347
95NEC/FAN/RUD	V Neck, Th. Fanhängel, G Rudolph, J I Kim Radiochim. Acta 69(1995)39
96CHO/CHE	G R Choppin, J F Chen, Radiochim. Acta 74(1996)105

5.71, and, simultaneously,  $\log \beta_3(Eu) \approx 5.84$ . The results agree with values obtained by other techniques: the potentiometrically determined  $\log \beta_3(Eu)$  on macro concentrations of Eu(III) is 5.88 at ionic strength  $\mu = 2.0$  M (61CHO/CHO) and 5.70 at  $\mu = 0.2$  M (65DEE/VER).

In a study of another aqueous system (at 1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub>) by Lundquist, Lu and Svantesson (84LUN/LU/SVA), the following  $\log \beta_3$ (Am) values were obtained: 5.32 (TBP), 5.65 (HDEHP) and 5.30 (TTA), and for Eu 5.76 (HDEHP) and 5.80 (TTA). Though three different extraction systems were used (indicated by the extractant within parenthesis), all with metals at trace concentration, the results were in fairly good agreement with previous works. This shows that the RTSX technique yields constants independent of the solvent system (when carried out properly) and in agreement with results obtained by potentiometry on macro concentrations.

Albinsson and Rydberg studied complex formation of trace amounts of Am(III) (86ALB/RYD), and of trivalent lanthanides in 1 M NaClO<sub>4</sub> (89RYD/ALB), with acetylacetone in benzene. The systems turned out to be complicated, but stepwise formation constants, logK<sub>n</sub>, were obtained for 9 lanthanides. The results agreed well with data from Nakamura et al. (85NAK/IMU), who studied the same system with the TTA extractant (at  $\mu = 0.1M$ ), and with Grenthe and Fernelius (60GRE/FER) and Yoneda et al. (64YON/CHO/BEA), who studied the system potentiometrically on macro concentrations of the elements; the deviations for individual logK<sub>n</sub> values were ≤0.5 log units, with a tendency for the RTSX constants of being <u>smaller</u> than the potentiometric values (i.e. opposite to Fig. 1). In this study difficulties could be overcome by (i) using an advanced technique (AKUFVE-LISOL) for accurately measuring low D-values, ≤10<sup>-4</sup>, (ii) by strictly adhering to the Nernst distribution law, taking account of several species in the organic phase, and (iii) using liquid scintillation and correcting for changes in  $\phi_{org}$  and  $\phi_{aq}$ .

Cacecci and Choppin determined the hydrolysis of Eu(III) and Am(III) in 0.7 M NaCl (83CAC/CHO). In (83CAC/CHO.2) they describe in detail the RTSX technique used, which is of general interest to the studies on actinide hydrolysis. Specific care was exercised to avoid errors caused by sorption and by dissolved impurities (like traces of  $CO_2$ ), which may suffice to bind the trace actinide in unknown side reactions. Thus, the walls of the vessels were covered by an anti-sorption layer, the solutions were filtered trough micropore filters, a hold-back complexing agent (diglycolate) was added (it forms a trace-U(VI)-"pool"), and the experiments were carried out in a protective atmosphere. The results on Am(III) hydrolysis were close to those obtained by potentiometric titration, from solubility studies with solid Am(OH)<sub>3</sub>, and with time-resolved laser-induced fluorescens spectroscopy. However, the results have been criticized by (95SIL/BID/ RAN) for lack of consideration of higher complexes; cf. Sect 5.1.

27

28

## Table 12. Studies of hydrophilic complexation of actinides by organic ligands, using the SXRT technique. Compiled mainly from 64SIL/MAR, 71SIL/MAR and 79PER; data mainly up to 1974; for full

references see these publications.

acetic acidU-6,Am-3, Am-6, Cm-3,Bk-3 $60TA, 63GRE,69VOD/OBU/PUS, 70CHO/SCH, 70HAR$ acetylacetoneTh-4, U-4, U-6,Np-4, Pu-4 $50,53,55,60RYD, 59RYD/SUL, 70LHJ/STA$ acetylacetoneTh-4, U-4 $60BTA/RBAR/BAR/BAberzoylyacetoneU-660STA/RBAR/BAR/BAmethoxy Sk ethaneU-666STR/ZIE/SANcarbamidePu-466STR/ZIE/SANcarbamidePu-466STR/ZIE/SANcarbamidePu-466STR/ZIE/SANcarbamidePu-466STR/ZIE/SANcimamoyl phenyl hydroxylamineTh-466STAcimamoyl phenyl hydroxylamineTh-462STA/BAL, 68GU, 71GU/BOU, 70AD//KLO/NEWcupferronTh-453DYR, 54DYR, 60RYDdiehoros hydroxiquinolineTh-4,Cf-356DYR/DYR/JOH,60RYD, 69FEI/KELdichloro hydroxiquinolineTh-4,Cf-356DYR/DYR/JOH,60RYD, 69FEI/KELdichloro acetylacetoneU-670GROHEDTAAm-3, Cm-371ZAM/MER/DUYhydroxyn-aphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxynetic acidU-670GROhydroxyneti acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyneti acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyneti acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxynetyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxynetyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxynetyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxynetyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, $	Ligand	Actinide - valency state	Abbreviated reference <sup>1</sup>
acetylacetoneTh-4, U-4, U-4, U-4, U-4, Pu-450,53,55,60RYD, 59RYD/SUL, 70LIL/STAazelaic acidTh-4, U-4, U-4, U-4, W-470MER/KUM/SKObenzolydroxamic acidPu-466BBAR/BAR/BIObenzolydroxamic acidU-660STAmethioxy SA ethaneU-666STR/ZIE/SANsalicylideneminobenzeneU-666STR/ZIE/SANcarbamidePu-471SHM/SOK/KIRCDTAAm-366STAcinnamoyl phenyl hydroxylamineTh-467ZHA/SUK/OSTcitric acidPa-5, U-6, Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-362STA/BAL, 68GUL, 71GU/BOU, 70ADI/KLO/NEWcupferronTh-453DYR, 54DYR, 60RYDdecanoic acidU-670GROdichloro hydroxiquinolineTh-4, Cf-356DYP/N/V/OH, 60RYD, 69FEI/KELdichloro hydroxiquinolineTh-4, Cf-350DYP/N/V/OH, 60RYD, 69FEI/KELdichloro actic acidTh-450DAY/STOEDTAPa-5, U-660STA, 40SHI/KIK/OMOglycineAm-3, Cm-371ZAM/MER/DUYHEDTAAm-3, Cm-371ZAM/MER/DUYhydroxy-naphtaquinoneTh-4592OU/PEShydroxybutancic acidU-662STA/BAL, 63GRE, 72CHO/DEGhydroxybutyli minodiacetic acidU-662STA/BALhydroxybutyli minodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyblenyl minodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyblenyl minodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-362STA/BALhydroxyblenyl minodiacetic acidH-453DVRhydroxyblenyl minodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-	acetic acid	U-6,Am-3, Am-6, Cm-3,Bk-3	60STA, 63GRE,69VOD/OBU/PUS, 70CHO/SCH, 70HAR
azilaic acidTh-4, U-470MER/KUM/SKObenzolyactoneU-660STAmethhoxy SA ethaneU-660STAaatio personal control of the second	acetylacetone	Th-4, U-4, U-6, Np-4, Pu-4	50,53,55,60RYD, 59RYD/SUL, 70LIL/STA
berzohydroxamic acid $Pu-4$ 66BBAR/BAR/BIOberzohydroxamic acidU-660STAmethoxy S ethaneU-666STR/ZIE/SANsalicylideneaminobenzeneU-666STR/ZIE/SAMcarbamidePu-471SHM/SOK/KIRCDTAAm-366STAcinnamoyl phenyl hydroxylamineTh-467ZHA/SUK/OSTcitric acidPa-5, U-6,Am-3, Cf-362STA/BAL, 68GUI, 71GUI/BOU, 70ADI/KLO/NEWcupferronTh-453DYR, 54DYR, 60RYDdecanoic acidU-670GROdibenzoylmethaneU-670GROdichloro hydroxiquinolineTh-450DAY/STOdichloro acetiyacidTh-450DAY/STOglycineAm-3, Cm-368TAN/CHOHEDTAPa-5, U-668TA/CHOhydroxynaphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxynaphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxynaphtaquinoneTh-462STA/BAL, 63GE, 72CHO/DEGhydroxynaphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxynaphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxynaphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxynaphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxynaphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxynaphtaquinoneTh-450ZY/PEShydroxynaphtaquinoneTh-450ZY/PEShydroxynaphtaquinoneTh-450ZY/PEShydroxynaphtaquinoneTh-450ZY/PEShydroxynaphtaquinoneTh-450ZY/PEShydroxynaphtaquinoneTh-450ZY/PEShydroxynaphtaquino	azelaic acid	Th-4, U-4	70MER/KUM/SKO
berzojacetone U-6 60STA methoxy SA ethane U-6 66STR/ZIE/SAN salicylideneaminobenzene U-6 66STR/ZIE/SAN carbamide Pu-4 71SHM/SOK/KIR CDTA Am-3 66STA cinnamoyl phenyl hydroxylamine Th-4 657LA/SUK/OST cinnamoyl phenyl hydroxylamine Th-4 67ZHA/SUK/OST cupferron Th-4 53DYR, 54DYR, 60RYD decanoic acid U-6 73NAK/HIR/TAN dienzojmethane U-6 70GRO dichloro hydroxiquinoline Th-4,Cf-3 56DYR/DYR/JOH,60RYD, 69FEJ/KEL dichloro hydroxiquinoline Th-4,Cf-3 56DYR/DYR/JOH,60RYD, 69FEJ/KEL dichloro acetic acid Th-4 50DX/STO BJYR, 54DYR, 60RYD dichloro acetylacetone U-6 60STA, 69SHI/KIK/OMO glycine Am-3, Cm-3 68TAN/CHO HEDTA Am-3, Cm-3 71ZAM/MER/DUY hexafluoro acetylacetone U-6 70GRO hydroxy-naphtaquinone Th-4 59ZOU/PES hydroxy-naphtaquinone Th-4 59ZOU/PES hydroxy-naphtaquinone Th-4 53DYR, 60RYD hydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acid Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-3 71ERM/VOR/ZAI hydroxyphyl minodiacetic acid Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-3 71ERM/VOR/ZAI hydroxyphyl iminodiacetic acid Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-3 71ERM/VOR/ZAI hydroxyphyl riminodiacetic acid Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-3 71ERM/VOR/ZAI hydroxyphyl rimodiacetic acid Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-3 71ERM/VOR/ZAI hydroxyphinonine Th-4 53DYR, 60RYD isopropyl tropolone U-6 62DYR lactic acid Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-3 71ERM/VOR/ZAI hydroxyphinonine Th-4 53DYR, 60RYD isopropyl tropolone U-6 62DYR lactic acid Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-3 67EKM/STA, 71AZJL/YL hydroxyphinonine Th-4 53DYR, 60RYD isopropyl tropolone U-6 62DYR lactic acid Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-3 67EKM/STA, 71AZJL/YL hydroxyphinonine Th-4 53DYR, 60RYD isopropyl tropolone U-6, 53TA, 54SEK, 56STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZJ/LYL hydroxyphini acid Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-3 67EKM/STA, 71AZJL/YL hydroxyphini acid Am-3, Cm-3 67EKM/STA, 71AZJL/YL hydroxyphine Am-3, Cm-3 67SEK, 56STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZJ/LYL hydroxyphine Am-4, U-6, Pu-4 71BER, 72BER oxalic acid An-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-3 60STA, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZJ/LYL	benzohvdroxamic acid	Pu-4	66BBAR/BAR/BIO
	benzovlacetone	U-6	60STA
asilicylideneaminobenzeneU-666STR/ZIE/SAMcarbamidePu-471SHM/SOK/KIRCDTAAm-366STAcinnamoyl phenyl hydroxylamineTh-467ZHA/SUK/OSTcitric acidPa-5, U-6, Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-362STA/BAL, 68GUI, 71GU/BOU, 70ADI/KLO/NEWcupferronTh-453DYR, 54DYR, 60RYDdecanoic acidU-670GROdibenzoylmethaneU-670GROdichloro hydroxiquinolineTh-450DAY/STOdichloro acetic acidTh-450DAY/STOglycineAm-3, Cm-368TAN/CHOHEDTAAm-3, Cm-368TAN/CHOHEDTAAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ZAM/MER/DUYHexafluoro acetylacetoneU-670GROhydroxycetic acidU-6, 4m-3, Cm-362STA/BAL, 63GRE, 72CHO/DEGhydroxynaphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxynenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxynenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxynenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxynenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxynenyl irinodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-363STA, 63STA, 71AZ/LYLhydroxynenyl trifluoroaceteneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-363STA, 66STAnaphthonyl trifluoroaceteneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-363STA, 66STAnitrio triacetic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-471BER, 70BERnitroeresol sulphonic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-463STA, 65STA, 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLhydroxyphenzo hydroxam	methoxy SA ethane	U-6	66STR/ZIE/SAN
CarbanidePu-471SHM/SOK/KIRCDTAAm-366STACDTAAm-367ZHA/SUK/OSTcinnamoyl phenyl hydroxylamieTh-467ZHA/SUK/OSTcupferronTh-453DYR, 54DYR, 60RYDdecanoic acidU-670GROdichloro hydroxiquinolineTh-4, Cf.356DYR/DYR/JOH,60RYD, 69FEJ/KELdichloro hydroxiquinolineTh-4, Cf.356DYR/DYR/JOH,60RYD, 69FEJ/KELdichloro hydroxiquinolineTh-4, Cf.356DYR/DYR/JOH,60RYD, 69FEJ/KELdichloro acetic acidTh-450DAY/STOEDTAPa-5, U-660STA,69SHI/KIK/OMOglycineAm-3, Cm-368TAN/CHOHEDTAAm-3, Cm-371ZAM/MER/DUYhydroxy-naphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxyetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-3, Bk-362STA/BAL,63GRE, 72CHO/DEGhydroxyetic acidU-6, Am-3, Ch-3, Bk-362STA/BALhydroxyetyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Ch-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Ch-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Ch-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Ch-3, Cf-363STA, 66STAhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Ch-3, Cf-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Cf-3, Fm-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Ch-3, Cf-369STA,66STAnitrio triacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-363STA,66STAnitroresol sulphonic acidTh-450STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 7	salicylideneaminobenzene	U-6	66STR/ZIE/SAM
CDTAAm-366STAcinnamoyl phenyl hydroxylamine citric acidTh-4 $672HA/SUK/OST$ cinta acidPa-5, U-6, Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-3 $62STA/BAL, 68GUI, 71GUI/BOU, 70ADI/KLO/NEW$ cupferronTh-4SJDYR, 54DYR, 60RYDdecanoic acidU-670GROdichloro hydroxiquinolineTh-4, Cf-350DYR/DYR/JOH, 60RYD, 69FEI/KELdichloroacetic acidTh-450DAY/STOglycineAm-3, Cm-368TA/CHOHEDTAPa-5, U-660STA, 69SHI/KIK/OMOglycineAm-3, Cm-371IZAM/MER/DUYhexafluoro acetylacetoneU-670GROhydroxy-naphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxy-naphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxybutanoic acidU-662STA/BAL, 63GRE, 72CH/DEGhydroxybutanoic acidU-662STA/BALhydroxypluinniniaTh-4SJDYR, SdDYR, 54DYRJhydroxybutanoic acidU-662STA/BALhydroxypluinniniaTh-4SJDYR, 54DYRJhydroxypluinniniaTh-4SJDYR, 60RYDisopropyl tropoloneU-662STA/BALhydroxypluinnoineTh-4SJDYR, 60RYDisopropyl tropoloneU-662DYRlactic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyplutonioneAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-362STA/BAL, 63STA, 71AZJ/LYLisopropyl tropoloneU-662DYRlactic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-363STA, 66STAnitrlo triacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-363STA, 66STAnitrocresol sulphonic acid	carbamide	Pu-4	71SHM/SOK/KIR
ControlControlControlControlcitric acidPa-5, U-6, Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-3 $67ZHA/SUK/OST$ cupferronTh-4 $53DYR, 54DYR, 60RYD$ decanoic acidU-6 $73NAK/HR/TAN$ dibenzoylmethaneU-6 $70GRO$ dichloro hydroxiquinolineTh-4, Cf-3 $56DYR, DYR, 50RYD, 69FEJ/KEL$ dichloroacetic acidTh-4 $50DAY/STO$ EDTAPa-5, U-6 $60STA, 69SHI/KIK/OMO$ glycineAm-3, Cm-3 $68TAN/CHO$ HEDTAAm-3, Cm-3 $62STA/BAL, 63GRE, 72CHO/DEG$ hydroxy-naphtaquinoneTh-4 $59ZOU/PES$ hydroxybutanoic acidU-6 $71ERM/VOR/ZAI$ hydroxypuinolineTh-4 $53DYR, 60RYD$ hydroxypuinolineTh-4 $59ZOU/PES$ hydroxypuinolineTh-4 $59ZOU/PES$ hydroxypuinolineTh-4 $53DYR, 60RYD$ isopropyl tropoloneU-6 $62STA/BALhydroxypuinolineTh-453DYR, 60RYDitrio triacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxypuinolineTh-463STA, 66STAitrio triacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-363STA, 66STAhydroxypuinolineTh-463STA, 66STAhydroxypuinolineTh-463STA, 66STAhydroxypuinolineTh-463STA, 66STA<$	CDTA	Am-3	66STA
Citric acidPa-5, U-6, Am-3, Cr-3 $62STA/BAL, 68GUI, 71GUI/BOU, 70ADI/KLO/NEW$ cupferronTh-4 $53DYR, 54DYR, 60RYD$ decanoic acidU-6 $73NAK/HIR/TAN$ dibenzoylmethaneU-6 $70GRO$ dichloro hydroxiquinolineTh-4, Cf-3 $56DYR/DYR/JOH, 60RYD, 69FEI/KEL$ dichloroacetic acidTh-4 $50DAY/STO$ glycineAm-3, Cm-3 $68TA/CHO$ HEDTAAm-3, Cm-3 $71ZAM/MER/DUY$ hexafluoro acetylacetoneU-6 $70GRO$ hydroxy-naphtaquinoneTh-4 $59ZOU/PES$ hydroxysaetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-3, Bk-3 $62STA/BAL, 63GRE, 72CHO/DEG$ hydroxystyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-3 $71ERM/VOR/ZAI$ hydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-3 $71ERM/VOR/ZAI$ hydroxyquinolineTh-4 $53DYR, 60RYD$ isopropyl tropoloneU-6 $62DYR$ lactic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-3 $71ERM/VOR/ZAI$ hydroxyquinolineTh-4 $53DYR, 60RYD$ isopropyl tropoloneU-6 $62DYR$ lactic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-3 $67ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYL$ naphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-3 $69KEL/SCH$ nitrio triacetic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-4 $71BER, 72BER$ oxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-3 $60STA, 60STA, 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLotacia caidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA, 60STA, 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLotacia caidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA, 60STA, 60/ST/SUK$	cinnamovl phenyl hydroxylamine	Th-4	67ZHA/SUK/OST
Current of the state of the	citric acid	Pa-5, U-6, Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-3	62STA/BAL, 68GUI, 71GUI/BOU, 70ADI/KLO/NEW
decanoic acidU-673NAK/HIR/TANdibenzoylmethaneU-670GROdichloro hydroxiquinolineTh-4,Cf-356DYR/DYR/JOH,60RYD, 69FEJ/KELdichloro acetic acidTh-450DAY/STOEDTAPa-5, U-660STA,69SHJ/KIK/OMOglycineAm-3, Cm-368TAN/CHOHEDTAAm-3, Cm-371ZAM/MER/DUYhexafluoro acetylacetoneU-670GROhydroxy-naphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxybutanoic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-3, Bk-362STA/BAL,63GRE, 72CHO/DEGhydroxybutanoic acidU-662STA/BALhydroxybutanoic acidU-662STA/BALhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyquinolineTh-453DYR, 60RYDisopropyl tropoloneU-662DYRlactic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-3, Fm-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLnaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-363STA,66STAnitrio triacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-363STA,66STAnitroresol sulphonic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-471BER, 72BERoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYL	cupferron	Th-4	53DYR, 54DYR, 60RYD
dicking undU-670GROdichloro hydroxiquinolineTh-4, Cf-356DYR/DYR/JOH,60RYD, 69FEJ/KELdichloroacetic acidTh-450DAY/STOEDTAPa-5, U-660STA,69SHL/KIK/OMOglycineAm-3, Cm-368TAN/CHOHEDTAAm-3, Cm-371ZAM/MER/DUYhexafluoro acetylacetoneU-670GROhydroxy-naphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxy-naphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxy-ataptaquinoneU-662STA/BALhydroxyetyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Bk-362STA/BALhydroxytyli minodiacetic acidAm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyquinolineTh-453DYR, 60RYDisopropyl tropoloneU-662DYRlactic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLnaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-363STA,66STAnitrol triacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-363STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 65STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 65STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYL	decapoic acid	U-6	73NAK/HIR/TAN
Since of the second s	dibenzovlmethane	U-6	70GRO
Initial only deviationInitial onlydichloroacetic acidTh-450DAY/STOEDTAPa-5, U-660STA,69SHI/KIK/OMOglycineAm-3, Cm-368TAN/CHOHEDTAAm-3, Cm-371ZAM/MER/DUYhexafluoro acetylacetoneU-670GROhydroxy-naphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxy-aphtaquinoneU-6, Am-3, Cm-3, Bk-362STA/BAL,63GRE, 72CHO/DEGhydroxybutanoic acidU-662STA/BALhydroxybutanoic acidU-662STA/BALhydroxybutanoic acidU-662STA/BALhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyquinolineTh-453DYR, 60RYDisopropyl tropoloneU-662DYRlactic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-3, Fm-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLnaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-363STA,66STAnitroiresol sulphonic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-471BER, 72BERoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYL	dichloro hydroxiquinoline	Th-4.Cf-3	56DYR/DYR/JOH,60RYD, 69FEI/KEL
Childs outputPa-5, U-660STA,69SHI/KIK/OMOglycineAm-3, Cm-368TAN/CHOHEDTAAm-3, Cm-371ZAM/MER/DUYhexafluoro acetylacetoneU-670GROhydroxy-naphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxydutanoic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-3, Bk-362STA/BAL,63GRE, 72CHO/DEGhydroxybutanoic acidU-662STA/BALhydroxybutanoic acidM-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxypunolineTh-453DYR, 60RYDisopropyl tropoloneU-662DYRlactic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLnaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-369KEL/SCHnitrio triacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,66STAnitrocresol sulphonic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-471BER, 72BERoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYL	dichloroacetic acid	Th-4	50DAY/STO
BUTAm-3, Cm-368TAN/CHOglycineAm-3, Cm-371ZAM/MER/DUYhexafluoro acetylacetoneU-670GROhydroxy-naphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxyacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-3, Bk-362STA/BAL,63GRE, 72CHO/DEGhydroxybutanoic acidU-662STA/BALhydroxytyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyquinolineTh-453DYR, 60RYDisopropyl tropoloneU-662DYRlactic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-3, Fm-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLnaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-369KEL/SCHnitrilo triacetic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-471BER, 72BERoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA, 64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-367ZHA/OST/SUK	EDTA	Pa-5. U-6	60STA,69SHI/KIK/OMO
By DrainAm-3, Cm-371ZAM/MER/DUYhexafluoro acetylacetoneU-670GROhydroxy-naphtaquinoneTh-4592OU/PEShydroxyacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-3, Bk-362STA/BAL,63GRE, 72CHO/DEGhydroxybutanoic acidU-662STA/BALhydroxyetyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyquinolineTh-453DYR, 60RYDisopropyl tropoloneU-662DYRlactic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLnaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-369KEL/SCHnitrilo triacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-363STA,66STAnitrocresol sulphonic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-471BER, 72BERoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYL	glycine	Am-3. Cm-3	68TAN/CHO
InternationalInternational70GROhexafluoro acetylacetoneU-670GROhydroxy-naphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxyacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-3, Bk-362STA/BAL,63GRE, 72CHO/DEGhydroxybutanoic acidU-662STA/BALhydroxyetyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-362DYRlactic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-3, Fm-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLnaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-369KEL/SCHnitriol triacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-363STA,66STAnitrocresol sulphonic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-471BER, 72BERoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-367ZHA/OST/SUK	HEDTA	Am-3. Cm-3	71ZAM/MER/DUY
Internation destructionTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxy-naphtaquinoneTh-459ZOU/PEShydroxyacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-3, Bk-362STA/BAL,63GRE, 72CHO/DEGhydroxybutanoic acidU-662STA/BALhydroxyetyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyquinolineTh-453DYR, 60RYDisopropyl tropoloneU-662DYRlactic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-3, Fm-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLnaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-369KEL/SCHnitrilo triacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,66STAnitrocresol sulphonic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-471BER, 72BERoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLoxalic acidTh-467ZHA/OST/SUK	hevafluoro acetylacetone	U-6	70GRO
InstructionInstructionInstructionhydroxyloutanoic acidU-6,Am-3, Cm-3, Bk-362STA/BAL,63GRE, 72CHO/DEGhydroxybutanoic acidU-662STA/BALhydroxyetyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyquinolineTh-453DYR, 60RYDisopropyl tropoloneU-662DYRlactic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-3, Fm-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLnaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-369KEL/SCHnitrocresol sulphonic acidTh-4, U-6,Pu-471BER, 72BERoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-367ZHA/OST/SUK	hydroxy-nanhtaquinone	Th-4	59ZOU/PES
hydroxybutanoic acidU-662STA/BALhydroxybutanoic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyquinolineTh-4S3DYR, 60RYDisopropyl tropoloneU-662DYRlactic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-3, Fm-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLnaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-369KEL/SCHnitrilo triacetic acidU-6,Am-3, Cm-363STA,66STAnitrocresol sulphonic acidTh-4, U-6,Pu-471BER, 72BERoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLoxalic acidTh-467ZHA/OST/SUK	hydroxy naphaquinone hydroxyacetic acid	U-6.Am-3. Cm-3. Bk-3	62STA/BAL,63GRE, 72CHO/DEG
hydroxyetyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidU-662DYRlactic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-3, Fm-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLnaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-369KEL/SCHnitrilo triacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-363STA,66STAnitrocresol sulphonic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-471BER, 72BERoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLotalic acidTh-467ZHA/OST/SUK	hydroxybutanoic acid	U-6	62STA/BAL
hydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acid hydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acid hydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidAm-3, Cm-3, Cf-371ERM/VOR/ZAIhydroxyphenyl iminodiacetic acidU-662DYRlactic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-3, Fm-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLnaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-369KEL/SCHnitrilo triacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-363STA,66STAnitrocresol sulphonic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-471BER, 72BERoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLotalic acidTh-467ZHA/OST/SUK	hydroxyetyl iminodiacetic acid	Am-3 Cm-3, Cf-3	71ERM/VOR/ZAI
hydroxyquinolineTh-453DYR, 60RYDhydroxyquinolineTh-462DYRlactic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-3, Fm-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLnaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-369KEL/SCHnitrilo triacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-363STA,66STAnitrocresol sulphonic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-471BER, 72BERoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLoxalic acidTh-467ZHA/OST/SUK	hydroxymbenyl iminodiacetic acid	Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-3	71ERM/VOR/ZAI
InvitoryInternationalisopropyl tropoloneU-6lactic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-3, Fm-3naphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-3nitrilo triacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-3nitroresol sulphonic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-4oxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-3otacia acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-3otacia acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-3otacia acidTh-4Openvlbenzo hydroxamic acidTh-4Th-4672HA/OST/SUK	hydroxyguinoline	Th-4	53DYR, 60RYD
Isophy integrationAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-3, Fm-367ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYLlactic acidAm-3 Cm-3, Cf-3, Fm-369KEL/SCHnaphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-363STA,66STAnitrio triacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-363STA,66STAnitrocresol sulphonic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-471BER, 72BERoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLphenylbenzo hydroxamic acidTh-467ZHA/OST/SUK	isopropyl tropolone	U-6	62DYR
naphthonyl trifluoroacetoneAc-3, Am-3, Cm-3, Cf-369KEL/SCHnitrilo triacetic acidU-6, Am-3, Cm-363STA,66STAnitrocresol sulphonic acidTh-4, U-6, Pu-471BER, 72BERoxalic acidAc-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-360STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYLphenylbenzo hydroxamic acidTh-467ZHA/OST/SUK	lactic acid	Am-3 Cm-3, Cf-3, Fm-3	67ERM/STA, 71AZI/LYL
nitrilo triacetic acid U-6,Am-3, Cm-3 63STA,66STA nitrocresol sulphonic acid Th-4, U-6,Pu-4 71BER, 72BER oxalic acid Ac-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-3 60STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYL benvlbenzo hydroxamic acid Th-4 67ZHA/OST/SUK	nambthonyl trifluoroacetone	Ac-3 Am-3 Cm-3 Cf-3	69KEL/SCH
nitrocresol sulphonic acid Th-4, U-6, Pu-4 71BER, 72BER oxalic acid Ac-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-3 60STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYL 67ZHA/OST/SUK	nitrilo triacetic acid	U-6 Am-3 Cm-3	63STA 66STA
oxalic acid Ac-3, U-6, Am-3, Cm-3 henvibenzo hydroxamic acid Th-4 60STA,64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYL 67ZHA/OST/SUK	nitrocresol sulphonic acid	Th-4 U-6 Pu-4	71BER, 72BER
henvibenzo hydroxamic acid Th-4 672HA/OST/SUK	avalia acid	Ac-3 U-6 Am-3 Cm-3	60STA 64SEK, 66STA 69/SEK/SAK, 70AZI/LYL
	nhanulhenzo hudrovamic acid	Th-4	67ZHA/OST/SUK
henvimethyl AP7 Ac-3 L-6 Am-3 Cm-3 Cf-3 73BAC/KEL	nhenvimethyl AP7	Ac-3 U-6 Am-3 Cm-3 Cf-3	73BAC/KEL
nhany mich y A 2 Ac 3 Th 4 IL6 Pu 4 Am 3 Cm 3 Cf 3 66ZOL/CHM/PAL 73BAC/KEL	nhenvimethyl BP7	Ac-3 Th-4 U-6 Pu-4 Am-3.Cm-3.Cf-3	66ZOL/CHM/PAL, 73BAC/KEL
nhenyindiyi Di Z	phenylmethyl chloro AP7	Am-3	73BAC/KEL
newymentyl trichor AP7 Am-3 73BAC/KEL	phenylmethyl trichlor AP7	Am-3	73BAC/KEL
phenylmentyl teilion APZ Am-3 73BAC/KEL	phonylmethyl trifluor AP7	Am-3	73BAC/KEL
piery industry industry 2 industry indu	calicylideneaminoethane	11-6	66STR/ZIE/SAM
salicylateria Th-4 60RYD	saliovlaldebyde	Th-4	60RYD
Salicylatelydd Th-4 U-6 56HÖK	salicylic acid	Th-4 U-6	56HÖK
Salicy and 11-4, 00 GRIESTR	Salicync aclu	11-4, 0-0 11-6	68ZIE/STR
Schin base 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	tortaria agid	U-6 Pu-4 Am-3 Cm-3	63. 66STA. 68ORT, 70ADI/KLO/NEW, 72MAR/KLO/NEW
tarta actu contraction Pa 52 Am 3 Cm 3 Cf 3 68RID/SEV/LAN 68DYA/KHL/SPL69KEL/SCH	the manifest fueron actions	$P_{2}=52$ Am 3 Cm 3 Cf 3	68RUD/SEV/LAN, 68DYA/KHL/SPI.69KEL/SCH
tion The General Table Anti-Sector General Control of the Sector Anti-Sector Sector Se	tinen	Th-A	69BOG/MUR/MAR
ding min sold Th4 56HOK 60RYD	uon sinnomio opid	Th-4	56HÖK 60RYD
trinking action and The Soliday Strong	trichloroportic acid	ገበ-ተ ፕኬ_4	50DAY/STO
Inclustration and the solution of the solution	triflurothenoulocations	Pa-5 Pu-3	54KEE/SUT .65GUI.
Information 116 608HE/SHM/NEN	triastrilamina	τα-σ, τα-σ ΤΙ_Α	60SHE/SHM/NEN
tronolone Th4 55DYR 60RYD	tronolone	Th-4	55DYR, 60RYD

1) Reference abbreviations according to OECD/NEA Data Bank. Other abbreviations:

c, or cond, refers to conditional constant; m, or mixed, refers to either mixed hydroxy or acid ligand complexes

APZ acetylpyrazolone

BPZ benzoylpyrazolone CDTA cyclohexylene dinitrilo tetraacetic acid HEDTA hydroxyetyl etylenediamine triacetic acid

SA salicylideneamino

There are quite a number of other studies by SXRT, which yield results very close to those obtained by other techniques (e.g. 70LIL/STA, 82BID, 90CEC/FRE). In general, they demonstrate that SX tracer experiments, when carried out with experimental care, yield equilibrium constants that are neither higher nor lower than values obtained by other techniques.

#### **10. CONCLUSIONS**

The SX technique may not always be the most simple method for studying actinide complexation, particularly hydrolysis, because of the inherent problems of trace concentrations and radioactivity measurements and the instability of the actinide valency states. However, if the technique is applied with optimal care, controlling both the radiometric and extraction conditions, it yields equilibrium constants in agreement with other techniques.

Hydrolysis constants obtained by the SXRT technique are not available for all trivalent actinides, particularly for those which are easily oxidized: U(III), Np(III) and Pu(III). It would require that the experiments are carried out in the presence of some very strong reductant (e.g., controlled electrolytically). Also, solvent extraction with a good extractant like TTA shifts the equilibrium towards An(IV). Therefore, for the trivalent actinides it seems at present possible only to investigate the hydrolysis of the stable ones and of Pu(III) by TTA extraction, and perhaps Np(III) but only with great difficulty, and probably not at all U(III).

There are rather few investigations on the hydrophilic complexation of the teravalent actinides by the extractant TTA. Such studies would be helpful as a ground for further studies of the mononuclear hydrolysis of An(IV), which is rather little known. The SXRT technique is unique in its ability to work at low actinide concentrations. As the tetravalent state is rather stable in nature, and actinide solutions of extreme dilution may appear in nature (dissolved from nuclear waste repositories), this should be a desired research field.

Although quite a number of studies have been made on the hydrophilic complexation of actinides by organic ligands (Table 12), it is still to a large extent a virgin field (cf. also 77SEK/HAS, 92RYD/MUS/CHO). This is also true for the thermodynamics and kinetics of solvent extraction of inorganic actinide complexes. When the SXRT technique is used either where other techniques are too insensitive (for example, it is the only useful technique for studying the the heaviest very short-lived elements) or supplementary to other techniques, it is necessary to control the aqueous phase (i.e. formation of hydrophilic complexes with the extractant) to avoid erroneous conclusions.

#### **11. REFERENCES**

Compilations are given in **boldface**. H Morse, Z. physik. Chemie 41(1902)709 02MOR D Grahame, G T Seaborg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 60(1938)2524. 38GRA/SEA I M Kolthoff, E B Sandell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 63(1941)1906 41KOL/SAN R E Connick, W H McVey, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 71(1949)3182 49CON/MCV H Irving, R J P Williams J. Chem. Soc. (1949)1841 49IRV/WIL R H Betts, R M Leigh, Can. J. Research 28B(1950)514 50BET/LEI R A Day, R W Stoughton, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 72(1970)5662. 50DAY/STO F Hagemann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 72(1950)768 50HAG J C Reid, M Calvin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 72(1950)2948 50REI/CAL J. Rydberg, Svensk Kem. Tidskr. 62(1950)179. 50RYD E. L King, W H Reas, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 73(1951)1804, 1806 51KIN/REA E L Zebrosky, W H Alter, F K Heumann J. Am. Chem. Soc. 73(1951)5646. 51ZEB/ALT/HEU J Rydberg, Acta Chem. Scand. 4(1950)1503 50RYD.2 E H Cook, R W Taft J. Am. Chem. Soc. 74(1952)6103 52COO/TAF A E Martell, M Calvin, Chemistry of Metal Chelate Compounds. Prentice Hall, 1956. 52MAR/CAL J Rydberg, B Rydberg, Svensk Kem. Tidskr. 64(1952)199 52RYD/RYD W C Waggener, R W Stoughton, J. Phys. Chem. 56(1952)1 52WAG/STO J Rydberg, Arkiv för kemi 5(1953)413. 53 RYD E M Larsen, G Terry, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 75(1953)1560. 53LAR/TER R A Day, R M Powers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 76(1954)3895 54DAY/POW J C Sullivan, J C Hindman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. <u>76</u> (1954) 5931. 54SUL/HIN R A Day, R N Wilhite, F D Hamilton, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 77(1955) 3180. 55DAY/WIL/HAM J Rydberg, Arkiv för kemi 9(1955)81, 109 55RYD J Rydberg, B Rydberg, Arkiv för kemi 9(1955)95 55RYD/RYD D Dyrssen, Sv. Kem. Tidskr. 68(1956)212. 56DYR J Rydberg, Rec. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas. T75(1956)737 56RYD A J Zielen, R E Connick, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 78(1956)5785. 56ZIE/CON S Ahrland, J Chatt, N R Davies Quart. Rev. Chem. Soc. 12(1958)265 58AHR/CHA/DAV I Grenthe, W C Fernelius, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 82(1960) 6285. 60GRE/FER J Stary, Coll. Chezh. Chem. Comm. 25(1960)890 60STA G R Choppin, J A Chopoorian, J Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 22 (1961)97. 61CHO/CHO A M Poskanzer, B M Foreman, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 16(1961)323 61POS/FOR F J C Rossotti, H Rossotti, Determination of Stability Constants, McGraw Hill 1961 61ROS/ROS V M Peshkova, Pen-An, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem. 7(1962)765 62PES/PEN K P Stolyarov, Vest. Leningrad Univ., Ser Khim. Fiz. 4(1963)140 63STO S C Foti, E C Freiling, Talanta 11(1964)385 64FOT/FRE T Sekine, D Dyrssen, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 26(1964)1727 64SEK/DYR L G Sillén, A E Martell, Stability Constants of Metal-Ion Complexes, Spec. Publ. 64SIL/MAR No 17, The Chemical Society, London 1964 J Stary, The solvent extraction of metal chelates. Pergamon Press 1964 64STA T Wakahayashi, S Oki, T Omori, N Suzuki J. Inorg. Nucl. Ch. 26(1964)2255 64WAK/OKI/OMO H Yoneda, G Choppin, J L Bear, J V Quagliano Inorg. Chem. 3(1964)1642. 64YON/CHO/BEA H Deelstra and F Verbeek, Anal. Chem. Acta 31(1965)251. 65DEE/VER R Guillaumont, Physico-Chimie du Protactinium, CNRS, Paris 1966 65GUI Rosenstreich, J L, Goldberg, D E, Inorg. Chem. 4(1965)909 65ROS/GOL T Sekine, Acta Chem. Scand. 19(1965)1519 65SEK T Sekine, Acta Chem. Scand. 19(1965)1469 65SEK2 J O Liljenzin, J Rydberg, in Physico-Chimie du Protactinium, C.N.R.S. Coll. Int. 66LIL/RYD No 154), Paris 1966. V A Ermakov, I Stary, Sovjet Radiochem. 9(1967)195. 67ERM/STA J Hala, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 29(1967)1317 67HAL J O Liljenzin, J Rydberg, in Solvent Extraction Chemistry (Eds.Dyrssen, Liljenzin 67LIL/RYD and Rydberg), North-Holland Publ. Co. 1967. N V Melchakova, G P Ozerova, V M Peshkova, Russ. J. Inorg. Chem 12(1967)577 67MEL/OZE/PES T Sekine, D Dyrssen, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 29(1967)1457 67SEK/DYR T Sekine, D Dyrssen, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 29(1967)1481 67SEK/DYR2 H Schreck, Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Rep. KFK 672, 1967 67SCH N P Rudenko, A I Sevastyanov, H G Lanskaya, Zh. Neorg. Khin. 13(1968)1566 68RUD/SEV/LAN H Schweizer, Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe, Rep. KFK 766, 1968 68SCH G K Schweitzer, M M Anderson, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 30(1968)1051 68SCH/AND

		31	
	69AND/AND/LIL	C Andersson, S O Andersson, J O Liljenzin, H Reinhardt, J Rydberg, Acta Chem.	
		Scand. 23(1969)2781	
	69DES/HUS/GUI	B Desiré, M Hussonois, R Guillaumont, Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris, 269(1969)	
	69GUI/FER	R Guillaumont, C F de Miranda, M Galin, C R Acad. Sc. Paris, <b>268</b> (1969)140	
	69KEL/SCH	C Keller, H Schreck, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 31(1969)1121.	
	69MAR/KIK	B Marin, T Kikindai, C R Acad. Sci. Ser. C, 286(1969)1.	
	69MET/GUI	H Metivier, R Guillaumont, Radiochem. Radioanal. letters 1/3(1969)209	
	69SEK/SAK	T Sekine, M Sakairi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 42(1969)2712	
	70BEC	M T Beck, Chemistry of Complex Equilibria, Van Nostrand Reinhold 1970	
	70DE/KHO/CHA	A K De, S M Khopkar, R A Chalmers, Solvent Extraction of Metals, Van Nostrand Reinholds 1970	
	TOFOIL	K F Fouché / Inorg Nucl Chem. 32(1970)3369	
	701 TI /STA	LOLilienzin I Stary J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. <b>32</b> (1970) 1357.	
	70REI/RYD	H Reinhardt, J Rydberg Chemistry and Industry 1970, 488	
	71ERM/VOR/ZAI	V A Ermakov, V V Vorobeva, A A Zaicev, G NYakolev Radiokhimiya 5(1971)692	
	71SIL/MAR	L G Sillén, A E Martell, Stability Constants, Supplement No 1, Special Publ.	
		No 25, the Chemical Society, London 1971	
	72GRO/KEL	J Gross, C Keller, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 34(1972)3209 (D. Dyrssen Diss. 1955?)	
	72HUS/HUB	H Hussonnois, S Huberty, L Aubin, R Guillaumont, G Boussieres, Radiochem.	
		Radioanal. Letters 10/4(1972)231.	
•	72KAS/KER	E F Kassierer, A S Kertes, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 34(1972)3221	
	72MET/GUI	H Metivier, R Guillaumont, Radiochem. Radioanal. Letters 10/2(1972)27	
	72SHA/STE	A B Shalinets, A V Stepamov, Sovjet Radiochemistry 14(1972)290.	
	73HUS/HUB/BRI	M Hussonnois, S Hubert, L Brillard, R Guillaumont, Radiochem. Radioandi.	
		Letters 15/1/(1973)47	
	73PAT/RAM	K Patil, V V Ramakrsihna, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 35 (1973)5555.	
	74 SOL	A S Solovkin, J. Radioanal. Chem. 21(19/4)15	
	74LUN	R Lundqvist, Diss. Chaimers Oniv. Techn. Gouenoug 1974	
	74MEF/KRO	M Melod eva, N N Kiol, 1 V Aldilaseva, A D Ger mai, 12v. Acad. Maak 5551,	
		Ser. Knim. 19(19)4)2510 (2501).	
	/JAHR/LIL/KID	Press Oxford 1975	
	75HTB/HTS/GUT	S Hubert M Hussonnois R Guillaumont, J Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 37(1975)1255.	
	75MET/GUI	H Metivier R Guillaumont J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem., Suppl. 1976, p.179.	
	76HUB/HUS/BRI	S Hubert, M Hussonois, L Brillard, R Guillaumont, in Transplutonium Elements	
	/01100/1100/014	(Eds. W Muller, R Lindner), North-Holland Publ. Co. 1976, p.77.	
	77DUP/GUI	J Duplessis, R Guilleaumont, Radiochem. Radianal. Letters 31/4 (1977)293.	
	77INO/TOC	Y. Inoue, O. Tochiyama, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 39(1977)1443	
	77SEK/HAS	T Sekine, Y Hasegawa Solvent extraction chemistry. Marcel Dekker, New York	
		<b>1977</b> , 209ff.	
	78STA/FRE	J Stary, H Freiser, Equilibrium Constants of Liquid-liquid Distribution Reactions.	
		Part IV: Chelating agents, IUPAC Chemical Data Series No. 18, Pergamon	
		Press 1978.	
	79PER	D D Perrin, Stability constants of metal-ion complexes. Part B, Organic uganas,	
		IUPAC Chemical Data Series No 22, Pergamon Press 1979	
	80HAR/BUR/ALC	F R Hartley, C Burgess, R Alcock, Solution Equilibria, Ellis Holwood Wikey 1980	
	82BID	G Bidoglio, Radiochim. Radioani. Letters 5511(1982)45.	
	82HOG	E Hogieldi, Stability constants of metal-ion complexes. 1 and A, thorganic against	
	ONTINI	Defact Chemical Data Series 1021, 1 erganon 11055 1702	
	82LUN 93WAT/CINI/EDE	H Watari L Cunningham H Freiser Anal Chem 54(1982)2390	
	82WAI/CUN/FRE	M S Cacecci G R Choppin Radiochemica Acta 33(1983) 101.	
	83CAC/CHO2	M S Cacecci, G Chonnin, Radiochimica Acta 33(1983)207.	
	84LUN/LU/SVA	R Lundquist J F Lu J Svantesson, Acta Chem. Scand. A38 (1984) 501.	
	84RYD/LIL/ALR	J Rydberg, J O Lilienzin, Y Albinsson, Am. Inst. Chem. Eng. Symp. series 238,	
		80(1984)47	
	85BID/TAN/CHA	G Bidoglio, G Tanet, A Chatt Radiochim. Acta 38(1985)21	
	85NAK/IMU	S Nakamura, H Imura, N Suzuki, Inorg. Chim. Acta 109 (1985)157; see also	
		N Suzuki, S Nakamura, ibid. 243.	
	86AHR	S Ahrland, Ch. 21 in 86KAT/SEA/MOR.	
	86ALB/RYD	Y Albinsson, J Rydberg, J. Less-Common Metals, 122 (1986)175.	
	86KAT/SEA/MOR	J J Katz, G T Seaborg, L R Morse, The Chemistry of the Actinide Elements,	
		Chapman and Hall London 1986	

87RAO/RAM/NAT	VK Rao, GR Mahajan, PR Natarajan Inorg. Chim. Acta 128(1987)131
88ALB/OHL/PER	Y Albinsson, L E Ohlson, H Persson Appl. Rad. Isotopes 39(1988)113
88MEL/HAV/HÖG	M Meloun, J Havel, E Högfeldt Computation of Solution Equilibria, Ellis Horwood
	/Wiley 1988
89RYD/ALB	J Rydberg, Y Albinsson, Solv. Extr. Ion Exch. 7(4) (1989) 577;
90CEC/FRE	T Cecconie, H Freiser, Anal. Chem. 62(1990) 622.
92GRE/FUG/KON	I Grenthe, J Fuger, R J M Konings, R J Lemire, A B Muller, C Ngyen-Trung,
	H Wanner Chemical Thermodynamics of Uranium. North-Holland 1992
92RYD/MUS/CHO	J Rydberg, C Musikas, G Choppin Principles and Practices of Solvent Extraction,
	Marcel Dekker, New York 1992.
93ADL/GUI	J P Adloff, R Guillaumont Fundamentals of Radiochemistry CRC Press 1993
95CHO/LIL/RYD	G Choppin, J O Liljenzin, J Rydberg, Radiochemistry and Nuclear Chemistry,
	Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford 1995.
95SIL/BID/RAN	R J Silva, G Bidoglio, M H Rand, P B Robouch, H Wanner, I Pugdomenech,
	Chemical Thermodynamics of Americium, Nort-Holland Elsevier 1995
96SHA/PAI/PRV	D C Shallcross, R Paimin, L M Prvcic Value addding through solvent extraction.
	Proc. ISEC'96, Univ. of Melbourne, 1996
97NEA-TDB	NEA/TDB, Chemical Thermodynamics of Np and Pu; to be published

\*\*\*\*\*

32

# List of SKB reports

## **Annual Reports**

*1977-78* TR 121 **KBS Technical Reports 1 – 120** Summaries

Stockholm, May 1979

#### *1979* TR 79-28

## The KBS Annual Report 1979

KBS Technical Reports 79-01 – 79-27 Summaries Stockholm, March 1980

#### 1980

#### TR 80-26 **The KBS Annual Report 1980** KBS Technical Reports 80-01 – 80-25 Summaries Stackholm Marph 1081

Stockholm, March 1981

## 1981

#### TR 81-17 The KBS Annual Report 1981

KBS Technical Reports 81-01 – 81-16 Summaries Stockholm, April 1982

#### 1982

## TR 82-28

#### The KBS Annual Report 1982 KBS Technical Reports 82-01 – 82-27

Summaries Stockholm, July 1983

#### 1983

#### TR 83-77 The KBS Annual Report 1983

KBS Technical Reports 83-01 – 83-76 Summaries Stockholm, June 1984

#### 1984

#### TR 85-01 Annual Research and Development Report 1984

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1984. (Technical Reports 84-01 – 84-19) Stockholm, June 1985

#### 1985

#### TR 85-20

#### Annual Research and Development Report 1985

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1985. (Technical Reports 85-01 – 85-19) Stockholm, May 1986 1986 TR 86-31 SKB Annual Report 1986

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1986 Stockholm, May 1987

#### *1987* TR 87-33

## SKB Annual Report 1987

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1987 Stockholm, May 1988

#### *1988* TR 88-32

## SKB Annual Report 1988

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1988 Stockholm, May 1989

## 1989

#### TR 89-40 SKB Annual Report 1989

Including Summaries of Technica! Reports Issued during 1989 Stockholm, May 1990

#### 1990

#### TR 90-46 SKB Annual Report 1990

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1990 Stockholm, May 1991

#### 1991

#### TR 91-64 SKB Annual Report 1991

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1991 Stockholm, April 1992

#### *1992* TR 92-46 **SKB Annual Report 1992**

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1992 Stockholm, May 1993

#### *1993* TR 93-34 **SKB Annual Report 1993**

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1993 Stockholm, May 1994

## *1994* TR 94-33

#### SKB Annual Report 1994

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1994. Stockholm, May 1995

#### 1995

TR 95-37

#### SKB Annual Report 1995

Including Summaries of Technical Reports Issued during 1995. Stockholm, May 1996

## List of SKB Technical Reports 1996

#### TR 96-01

# Bacteria, colloids and organic carbon in groundwater at the Bangombé site in the Oklo area

Karsten Pedersen (editor) Department of General and Marine Microbiology, The Lundberg Institute, Göteborg University, Göteborg, Sweden February 1996

#### TR 96-02

#### Microbial analysis of the buffer/container experiment at AECL's Underground Research Laboratory

- S Stroes-Gascoyne<sup>1</sup>, K Pedersen<sup>2</sup>, S Daumas<sup>3</sup>,
- C J Hamon<sup>1</sup>, S A Haveman<sup>1</sup>, T L Delaney<sup>1</sup>,

S Ekendahl<sup>2</sup>, N Jahromi<sup>2</sup>, J Arlinger<sup>2</sup>, L Hallbeck<sup>2</sup>, K Dekeyser<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> AECL, Whiteshell Laboratories, Pinawa, Manitoba, Canada

<sup>2</sup> University of Göteborg, Department of General and Marine Microbiology, Göteborg, Sweden

<sup>3</sup> Guigues Recherche Appliquée en Microbiologie (GRAM), Aix-en-Provence, France

#### 1996

#### TR 96-03

#### Reduction of Tc (VII) and Np (V) in solution by ferrous iron. A laboratory study of homogeneous and heterogeneous redox processes

Daqing Cui, Trygve E Eriksen Department of Chemistry, Nuclear Chemistry, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden March 1996

## TR 96-04 Revisiting Poços de Caldas.

#### Application of the co-precipitation approach to establish realistic solubility limits for performance assessment

Jordi Bruno, Lara Duro, Salvador Jordana, Esther Cera QuantiSci, Barcelona, Spain February 1996

#### TR 96-05 SR 95

Template for safety reports with descriptive example SKB December 1995

#### TR 96-06 **Äspö Hard Rock Laboratory Annual Report 1995** SKB April 1996

#### TR 96-07

#### Criticality in a high level waste repository. A review of some important factors and an assessment of the lessons that can be learned from the Oklo reactors

Virginia M Oversby VMO Konsult June 1996

#### TR 96-08

#### A reappraisal of some Cigar Lake issues of importance to performance assessment

- John Smellie<sup>1</sup>, Fred Karlsson<sup>2</sup>
- <sup>1</sup> Conterra AB

<sup>2</sup> SKB July 1996

## TR 96-09

#### The long-term stability of cement. Leaching tests

Ingemar Engkvist, Yngve Albinsson, Wanda Johansson Engkvist Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden June 1996

#### TR 96-10 Lake-tilting investigations in southern Sweden Tore Påsse

Sveriges geologiska undersökning, Göteborg, Sweden April 1996

#### TR 96-11

# Thermoelastic stress due to an instantaneous finite line heat source in an infinite medium

Johan Claesson, Göran Hellström Depts. of Building Physics and Mathematical Physics, Lund University, Lund, Sweden September 1995

#### TR 96-12

#### Temperature field due to timedependent heat sources in a large rectangular grid

### - Derivation of analytical solution

Johan Claesson, Thomas Probert Depts. of Building Physics and Mathematical Physics, Lund University, Lund, Sweden January 1996

#### TR 96-13

## Thermoelastic stress due to a rectangular heat source in a semiinfinite medium

#### - Derivation of an analytical solution Johan Claesson, Thomas Probert Depts. of Building Physics and Mathematical Physics, Lund University, Lund, Sweden May 1996

#### TR 96-14

Oklo: Des reacteurs nucleaires fossiles (Oklo: The fossil nuclear reactors). Physics study (R Naudet, CEA)

- Translation of chapters 6, 13, and conclusions

V O Oversby VMO Konsult September 1996

#### TR 96-15

#### PLAN 96 Costs for mana

#### Costs for management of the radioactive waste from nuclear power production

Swedish Nuclear Fuel and Waste Management Co June 1996

#### TR 96-16

## Diffusion of I<sup>-</sup>, Cs<sup>+</sup> and Sr<sup>2+</sup> in compacted bentonite – Anion exclusion and surface

#### Anion exclusion and surface diffusion

Trygve E Eriksen, Mats Jansson Royal Institute of Technology, Department of Chemistry, Nuclear Chemistry, Stockholm November 1996